

# **World Curling Federation**

**Anti-Doping Rules** 

January 2009

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

INTRODUCTION		2
	itionale for the <i>Code</i> and WCF's Anti-Doping Rules	2
ARTICLE 1	DEFINITION OF DOPING	4
ARTICLE 2	ANTI-DOPING RULE VIOLATIONS	4
ARTICLE 3	PROOF OF DOPING	8
ARTICLE 4	THE PROHIBITED LIST	10
ARTICLE 5	TESTING	13
ARTICLE 6	ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES	17
ARTICLE 7	RESULTS MANAGEMENT	18
ARTICLE 8	RIGHT TO A FAIR HEARING	25
ARTICLE 9	AUTOMATIC DISQUALWCFICATION OF INDIVIDUAL RESULTS	27
ARTICLE 10	SANCTIONS ON INDIVIDUALS	27
ARTICLE 11	CONSEQUENCES TO TEAMS	40
ARTICLE 12	SANCTIONS AND COSTS ASSESSED AGAINST MEMBER ASSOCIATIONS	40
ARTICLE 13	APPEALS	41
ARTICLE 14	MEMBER ASSOCIATIONS INCORPORATION OF WCF RULES, REPORTING AND RECOGNITION	44
ARTICLE 15	RECOGNITION OF DECISIONS BY OTHER ORGANISATIONS	46
ARTICLE 16	STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS	46
ARTICLE 17	WCF COMPLIANCE REPORTS TO WADA	47
ARTICLE 18	AMENDMENT AND INTERPRETATION OF ANTI-DOPING RULES	47
APPENDIX 1	DEFINITIONS	49
APPENDIX 2	AKCKOWLEDGEMENT AND AGREEMENT	56

### WORLD CURLING FEDERATION (WCF) ANTI-DOPING RULES

#### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **Preface**

At the WCF Semi-Annual General Assembly held on 05/12/07 in Füssen, Germany, WCF accepted the revised (2009) World Anti-Doping Code (the "Code"). These Anti-Doping Rules are adopted and implemented in conformance with WCF's responsibilities under the Code, and are in furtherance of WCF's continuing efforts to eradicate doping in the sport of Curling.

Anti-Doping Rules, like *Competition* rules, are sport rules governing the conditions under which sport is played. *Athletes* and other *Persons* accept these rules as a condition of participation and shall be bound by them. These sport-specific rules and procedures, aimed at enforcing anti-doping principles in a global and harmonized manner, are distinct in nature and, therefore, not intended to be subject to, or limited by any national requirements and legal standards applicable to criminal proceedings or employment matters. When reviewing the facts and the law of a given case, all courts, arbitral tribunals and other adjudicating bodies should be aware of and respect the distinct nature of the anti-doping rules in the *Code* and the fact that these rules represent the consensus of a broad spectrum of stakeholders around the world with an interest in fair sport.

# Fundamental Rationale for the Code and WCF's Anti-Doping Rules

Anti-doping programs seek to preserve what is intrinsically valuable about sport. This intrinsic value is often referred to as "the spirit of sport"; it is the essence of Olympism; it is how we play true. The spirit of sport is the celebration of the human spirit, body and mind, and is characterized by the following values:

- Ethics, fair play and honesty
- Health
- Excellence in performance
- Character and education
- Fun and joy
- Teamwork
- Dedication and commitment
- Respect for rules and laws
- Respect for self and other participants
- Courage
- Community and solidarity

Doping is fundamentally contrary to the spirit of sport.

The World Curling Federation forbids doping and shall maintain an active policy to prevent the supply and taking of "prohibited substances" to or by athletes, coaches, umpires, officials or other agents involved with the conduct of the sport of curling. Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods shall be those substances and Methods which shall appear on the lists of Prohibited Methods and Substances contained in the World Anti-Doping Code, as amended and substituted from time to time, and which have been shown to affect an individual's physical or mental condition and thereby influence the sporting performance of athletes.

### Scope

These Anti-Doping Rules shall apply to The World Curling Federation (WCF), each *Member Association* of WCF both Provisional and Full, and each *Participant* in the activities of WCF or any of its *Member Associations* by virtue of the *Participant's* membership, accreditation, or participation in WCF, its *Member Associations*, or their activities or *Events*.

To be eligible for participation in WCF events, a competitor must sign the WCF Anti-Doping Policy Acknowledgment and Agreement form as shown in Appendix 1. For minors, the signature of a parent or legal guardian is required. For WCF competitions, this will apply to all those under the age of 18 years on the first day of competition.

Participants, including minors are deemed to accept, submit to, and abide by these Anti-Doping Rules by virtue of their participation in the sport.

The Member Association must guarantee that all athletes registered for participation in a WCF event accept the Rules of the WCF, including these WCF Anti-Doping Rules compiled in accordance with the World Anti-Doping Code.

It is the responsibility of each *Member Association* to ensure that all Member Association level *Testing* on the *Member Association's Athletes* complies with these Anti-Doping Rules. In some countries, the *Member Association* itself will be conducting the *Doping Control* described in these Anti-Doping Rules. In other countries, many of the *Doping Control* responsibilities of the *Member Association* have been delegated or assigned by statute or agreement to a *National Anti-Doping Organization*. In those countries, references in these Anti-Doping Rules to the *Member Association* shall apply, as appropriate, to the *Member Association's National Anti-Doping Organization*.

These Anti-Doping Rules shall apply to all *Doping Controls* over which WCF and its *Member Associations* have jurisdiction.

#### ARTICLE 1 DEFINITION OF DOPING

Doping is defined as the occurrence of one or more of the anti-doping rule violations set forth in Article 2.1 through Article 2.8 of these Anti-Doping Rules.

#### **ARTICLE 2 ANTI-DOPING RULE VIOLATIONS**

Athletes and other *Persons* shall be responsible for knowing what constitutes an anti-doping rule violation and the substances and methods which have been included on the *Prohibited List*.

The following constitute anti-doping rule violations:

[Comment to Article 2: The purpose of Article 2 is to specify the circumstances and conduct which constitute violations of anti-doping rules. Hearings in doping cases will proceed based on the assertion that one or more of these specific rules has been violated.]

# 2.1 The presence of a *Prohibited Substance* or its Metabolites or Markers in an *Athlete's Sample*

**2.1.1** It is each *Athlete's* personal duty to ensure that no *Prohibited Substance* enters his or her body. *Athletes* are responsible for any *Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolites* or *Markers* found to be present in their *Samples*. Accordingly, it is not necessary that intent, fault, negligence or knowing *Use* on the *Athlete's* part be demonstrated in order to establish an antidoping violation under Article 2.1.

[Comment to Article 2.1.1: For purposes of anti-doping violations involving the presence of a Prohibited Substance (or its Metabolites or Markers), WCF's Anti-Doping Rules adopt the rule of strict liability which was found in the Olympic Movement Anti-Doping Code ("OMADC") and the vast majority of pre-Code anti-doping rules. Under the strict liability principle, an Athlete is responsible, and an anti-doping rule violation occurs, whenever a Prohibited Substance is found in an Athlete's Sample. The violation occurs whether or not the Athlete intentionally or unintentionally used a Prohibited Substance or was negligent or otherwise at fault. If the positive Sample came from an In-Competition test, then the results of that Competition are automatically invalidated (Article 9 (Automatic Disqualification of Individual Results)). However, the Athlete then has the possibility to avoid or reduce sanctions if the Athlete can demonstrate that he or she was not at fault or significant fault (Article 10.5 (Elimination or Reduction of Period of Ineligibility Based on Exceptional Circumstances)) or in certain circumstances did not intend to enhance his or her sport performance (Article 10.4 (Elimination or Reduction of the Period of Ineligibility for Specified Substances under Specific *Circumstances*)).

The strict liability rule for the finding of a Prohibited Substance in an Athlete's Sample, with a possibility that sanctions may be modified based on specified

criteria, provides a reasonable balance between effective anti-doping enforcement for the benefit of all "clean" Athletes and fairness in the exceptional circumstance where a Prohibited Substance entered an Athlete's system through No Fault or Negligence or No Significant Fault or Negligence on the Athlete's part. It is important to emphasize that while the determination of whether the anti-doping rule has been violated is based on strict liability, the imposition of a fixed period of Ineligibility is not automatic. The strict liability principle set forth in WCF's Anti-Doping Rules has been consistently upheld in the decisions of CAS.]

**2.1.2** Sufficient proof of an anti-doping rule violation under Article 2.1 is established by either of the following: presence of a *Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolites* or *Markers* in the *Athlete*'s A *Sample* where the *Athlete* waives analysis of the B *Sample* and the B *Sample* is not analyzed; or, where the *Athlete*'s B *Sample* is analyzed and the analysis of the *Athlete*'s B *Sample* confirms the presence of the *Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolites* or *Markers* found in the *Athlete*'s A *Sample*.

[Comment to Article 2.1.2: WCF may in its discretion choose to have the B Sample analyzed even if the Athlete does not request the analysis of the B Sample.]

- **2.1.3** Excepting those substances for which a quantitative threshold is specifically identified in the *Prohibited List*, the presence of any quantity of a *Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolites* or *Markers* in an *Athlete's Sample* shall constitute an anti-doping rule violation.
- **2.1.4** As an exception to the general rule of Article 2.1, the *Prohibited List* or *International Standards* may establish special criteria for the evaluation of *Prohibited Substances* that can also be produced endogenously.

# 2.2 Use or Attempted Use by an Athlete of a Prohibited Substance or a Prohibited Method

[Comment to Article 2.2: As noted in Article 3 (Proof of Doping), it has always been the case that Use or Attempted Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method may be established by any reliable means. Unlike the proof required to establish an anti-doping rule violation under Article 2.1, Use or Attempted Use may also be established by other reliable means such as admissions by the Athlete, witness statements, documentary evidence, conclusions drawn from longitudinal profiling, or other analytical information which does not otherwise satisfy all the requirements to establish "Presence" of a Prohibited Substance under Article 2.1. For example, Use may be established based upon reliable analytical data from the analysis of an A Sample (without confirmation from an analysis of a B Sample) or from the analysis of a B Sample alone where WCF provides a satisfactory explanation for the lack of confirmation in the other Sample.]

- **2.2.1** It is each *Athlete's* personal duty to ensure that no *Prohibited Substance* enters his or her body. Accordingly, it is not necessary that intent, fault, negligence or knowing *Use* on the *Athlete's* part be demonstrated in order to establish an antidoping rule violation for *Use* of a *Prohibited Substance* or a *Prohibited Method*.
- **2.2.2** The success or failure of the *Use* of a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* is not material. It is sufficient that the *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* was *Used* or *Attempted* to be *Used* for an anti-doping rule violation to be committed.

[Comment to Article 2.2.2: Demonstrating the "Attempted Use" of a Prohibited Substance requires proof of intent on the Athlete's part. The fact that intent may be required to prove this particular anti-doping rule violation does not undermine the strict liability principle established for violations of Article 2.1 and violations of Article 2.2 in respect of Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method.

An Athlete's "Use" of a Prohibited Substance constitutes an anti-doping rule violation unless such substance is not prohibited Out-of-Competition and the Athlete's Use takes place Out-of-Competition. (However, the presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers in a Sample collected In-Competition will be a violation of Article 2.1 (Presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers) regardless of when that substance might have been administered.)]

# 2.3 Refusing or failing without compelling justification to submit to *Sample* collection after notification as authorized in these Anti-Doping Rules, or otherwise evading *Sample* collection.

[Comment to Article 2.3: Failure or refusal to submit to Sample collection after notification was prohibited in almost all pre-Code anti-doping rules. This Article expands the typical pre-Code rule to include "otherwise evading Sample collection" as prohibited conduct. Thus, for example, it would be an anti-doping rule violation if it were established that an Athlete was hiding from a Doping Control official to evade notification or Testing. A violation of "refusing or failing to submit to Sample collection" may be based on either intentional or negligent conduct of the Athlete, while "evading" Sample collection contemplates intentional conduct by the Athlete.]

2.4 Violation of applicable requirements regarding Athlete availability for Out-of-Competition Testing set out in the International Standard for Testing, including failure to file whereabouts information in accordance with Article 11.3 of the International Standard for Testing (a "Filing Failure") and failure to be available for Testing at the declared whereabouts in accordance with

Article 11.4 of the *International Standard* for *Testing* (a "Missed Test"). Any combination of three Missed Tests and/or Filing Failures committed within an eighteen-month period, as declared by WCF or any other Anti-Doping Organization with jurisdiction over an Athlete, shall constitute an anti-doping rule violation.

[Comment to Article 2.4: Separate whereabouts filing failures and missed tests declared under the rules of WCF or any other Anti-Doping Organization with authority to declare whereabouts filing failures and missed tests in accordance with the International Standard for Testing shall be combined in applying this Article. In appropriate circumstances, missed tests or filing failures may also constitute an anti-doping rule violation under Article 2.3 or Article 2.5.]

# 2.5 Tampering or Attempted Tampering with any part of Doping Control.

[Comment to Article 2.5: This Article prohibits conduct which subverts the Doping Control process but which would not otherwise be included in the definition of Prohibited Methods. For example, altering identification numbers on a Doping Control form during Testing, breaking the B Bottle at the time of B Sample analysis or providing fraudulent information to WCF.]

#### 2.6 Possession of Prohibited Substances and Methods

- **2.6.1** Possession by an Athlete In-Competition of any Prohibited Method or any Prohibited Substance, or Possession by an Athlete Out-of-Competition of any Prohibited Method or any Prohibited Substance which is prohibited in Out-of-Competition Testing unless the Athlete establishes that the Possession is pursuant to a therapeutic use exemption ("TUE") granted in accordance with Article 4.4 (Therapeutic Use) or other acceptable justification.
- **2.6.2** Possession by Athlete Support Personnel In-Competition of any Prohibited Method or any Prohibited Substance, or Possession by Athlete Support Personnel Out-of-Competition of any Prohibited Method or any Prohibited Substance which is prohibited Out-of-Competition, in connection with an Athlete, Competition or training, unless the Athlete Support Personnel establishes that the Possession is pursuant to a TUE granted to an Athlete in accordance with Article 4.4 (Therapeutic Use) or other acceptable justification.

[Comment to Article 2.6.1 and 2.6.2: Acceptable justification would not include, for example, buying or possessing a Prohibited Substance for purposes of giving it to a friend or relative, except under justifiable medical circumstances where that Person had a physician's prescription, e.g., buying Insulin for a diabetic child.]

[Comment to Article 2.6.2: Acceptable justification would include, for example, a team doctor carrying Prohibited Substances for dealing with acute and emergency situations.]

# 2.7 Trafficking or Attempted Trafficking in any Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method.

**2.8** Administration or Attempted administration to any Athlete In-Competition of any Prohibited Method or Prohibited Substance, or administration or Attempted administration to any Athlete Out-of-Competition of any Prohibited Method or any Prohibited Substance that is prohibited Out-of-Competition, or assisting, encouraging, aiding, abetting, covering up or any other type of complicity involving an anti-doping rule violation or any Attempted anti-doping rule violation.

#### **ARTICLE 3 PROOF OF DOPING**

#### 3.1 Burdens and Standards of Proof

WCF and its *Member Associations* shall have the burden of establishing that an anti-doping rule violation has occurred. The standard of proof shall be whether WCF or its *Member Association* has established an anti-doping rule violation to the comfortable satisfaction of the hearing panel bearing in mind the seriousness of the allegation which is made. This standard of proof in all cases is greater than a mere balance of probability but less than proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Where these Rules place the burden of proof upon the *Athlete* or other *Person* alleged to have committed an anti-doping rule violation to rebut a presumption or establish specified facts or circumstances, the standard of proof shall be by a balance of probability, except as provided in Articles 10.4 and 10.6, where the *Athlete* must satisfy a higher burden of proof.

#### 3.2 Methods of Establishing Facts and Presumptions

Facts related to anti-doping rule violations may be established by any reliable means, including admissions. The following rules of proof shall be applicable in doping cases:

[Comment to Article 3.2: For example, WCF or its Member Association may establish an anti-doping rule violation under Article 2.2 (Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method) based on the Athlete's admissions, the credible testimony of third Persons, reliable documentary evidence, reliable analytical data from either an A or B Sample as provided in the Comments to Article 2.2, or conclusions drawn from the profile of a series of the Athlete's blood or urine Samples.]

**3.2.1** *WADA*-accredited laboratories are presumed to have conducted *Sample* analysis and custodial procedures in accordance with the *International Standard* for Laboratories. The *Athlete* or other *Person* may rebut this presumption by establishing that a departure from the *International Standard* occurred which could reasonably have caused the *Adverse Analytical Finding*.

If the *Athlete* or other *Person* rebuts the preceding presumption by showing that a departure from the *International Standard* occurred which could reasonably have caused the *Adverse Analytical Finding*, then WCF or its *Member Association* shall have the burden to establish that such departure did not cause the *Adverse Analytical Finding*.

[Comment to Article 3.2.1: The burden is on the Athlete or other Person to establish, by a balance of probability, a departure from the International Standard that could reasonably have caused the Adverse Analytical Finding. If the Athlete or other Person does so, the burden shifts to WCF or its Member Association to prove to the comfortable satisfaction of the hearing panel that the departure did not cause the Adverse Analytical Finding.]

- **3.2.2** Departures from any other *International Standard* or other anti-doping rule or policy which did not cause an *Adverse Analytical Finding* or other anti-doping rule violation shall not invalidate such results. If the *Athlete* or other *Person* establishes that a departure from another *International Standard* or other anti-doping rule or policy which could reasonably have caused the *Adverse Analytical Finding* or other anti-doping rule violation occurred, then WCF or its *Member Association* shall have the burden to establish that such a departure did not cause the *Adverse Analytical Finding* or the factual basis for the anti-doping rule violation.
- **3.2.3** The facts established by a decision of a court or professional disciplinary tribunal of competent jurisdiction which is not the subject of a pending appeal shall be irrebuttable evidence against the *Athlete* or other *Person* to whom the decision pertained of those facts unless the *Athlete* or other *Person* establishes that the decision violated principles of natural justice.
- **3.2.4** The hearing panel in a hearing on an anti-doping rule violation may draw an inference adverse to the *Athlete* or other *Person* who is asserted to have committed an anti-doping rule violation based on the *Athlete's* or other *Person's* refusal, after a request made in a reasonable time in advance of the hearing, to appear at the hearing (either in person or telephonically as directed by the tribunal) and to answer questions either from the hearing panel or from the *Anti-Doping Organization* asserting the anti-doping rule violation.

#### ARTICLE 4 THE PROHIBITED LIST

### 4.1 Incorporation of the *Prohibited List*

These Anti-Doping Rules incorporate the *Prohibited List* which is published and revised by *WADA* as described in Article 4.1 of the *Code*. WCF will make the current *Prohibited List* available to each *Member Association*, and each *Member Association* shall ensure that the current *Prohibited List* is available to its members and constituents.

[Comment to Article 4.1: The Prohibited List will be revised and published on an expedited basis whenever the need arises. However, for the sake of predictability, a new Prohibited List will be published every year whether or not changes have been made. The Prohibited List in force is available on WADA's website at www.wada-ama.org.The Prohibited List is an integral part of the International Convention against Doping in Sport. WADA will inform the Director-General of UNESCO of any change to the Prohibited List.]

# 4.2 Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods Identified on the Prohibited List

#### **4.2.1** Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods

Unless provided otherwise in the *Prohibited List* and/or a revision, the *Prohibited List* and revisions shall go into effect under these Anti-Doping Rules three months after publication of the *Prohibited List* by *WADA* without requiring any further action by WCF. As described in Article 4.2 of the *Code*, WCF may upon the recommendation of its Anti-Doping Commission request that *WADA* expand the *Prohibited List* for the sport of Curling or certain disciplines within the sport of Curling. WCF may also upon the recommendation of its Anti-Doping Commission request that *WADA* include additional substances or methods, which have the potential for abuse in the sport of Curling, in the monitoring program described in Article 4.5 of the *Code*. As provided in the *Code*, *WADA* shall make the final decision on such requests by WCF.

[Comment to Article 4.2.1: There will be one Prohibited List. The substances which are prohibited at all times would include masking agents and those substances which, when Used in training, may have long term performance enhancing effects such as anabolics. All substances and methods on the Prohibited List are prohibited In-Competition. Out-of-Competition Use (Article 2.2) of a substance which is only prohibited In-Competition is not an anti-doping rule violation unless an Adverse Analytical Finding for the substance or its Metabolites is reported for a Sample collected In-Competition (Article 2.1).

There will be only one document called the "Prohibited List." WADA may add additional substances or methods to the Prohibited List for particular sports (e.g. the inclusion of beta-blockers for shooting) but this will also be reflected on the single Prohibited List. A particular sport is not permitted to seek exemption from the basic list of Prohibited Substances (e.g. eliminating anabolics from the Prohibited List for "mind sports"). The premise of this decision is that there are certain basic doping agents which anyone who chooses to call himself or herself an Athlete should not take.]

### **4.2.2** Specified Substances

For purposes of the application of Article 10 (Sanctions on Individuals), all *Prohibited Substances* shall be "Specified Substances" except (a) substances in the classes of anabolic agents and hormones; and (b) those stimulants and hormone antagonists and modulators so identified on the *Prohibited List. Prohibited Methods* shall not be Specified Substances.

#### **4.2.3** New Classes of *Prohibited Substances*

In the event *WADA* expands the *Prohibited List* by adding a new class of *Prohibited Substances* in accordance with Article 4.1 of the *Code, WADA*'s Executive Committee shall determine whether any or all *Prohibited Substances* within the new class of *Prohibited Substances* shall be considered Specified Substances under Article 4.2.2.

# 4.3 Criteria for Including Substances and Methods on the *Prohibited List*

As provided in Article 4.3.3 of the *Code*, *WADA's* determination of the *Prohibited Substances* and *Prohibited Methods* that will be included on the *Prohibited List* and the classification of substances into categories on the *Prohibited List* is final and shall not be subject to challenge by an *Athlete* or other *Person* based on an argument that the substance or method was not a masking agent or did not have the potential to enhance performance, represent a health risk or violate the spirit of sport.

[Comment to Article 4.3: The question of whether a substance meets the criteria in Article 4.3 (Criteria for Including Substances and Methods on the Prohibited List) in a particular case cannot be raised as a defense to an anti-doping rule violation. For example, it cannot be argued that the Prohibited Substance detected would not have been performance enhancing in that particular sport. Rather, doping occurs when a substance on the Prohibited List is found in an Athlete's Sample. Similarly, it cannot be argued that a substance listed in the class of anabolic agents does not belong in that class.]

### 4.4 Therapeutic Use

- **4.4.1** Athletes with a documented medical condition requiring the use of a *Prohibited Substance* or a *Prohibited Method* must first obtain a TUE. The presence of a *Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolites* or *Markers* (Article 2.1), *Use* or *Attempted Use* of a *Prohibited Substance* or a *Prohibited Method* (Article 2.2), *Possession* of *Prohibited Substances* or *Prohibited Methods* (Article 2.6) or administration of a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* (Article 2.8) consistent with the provisions of an applicable TUE issued pursuant to the *International Standard* for Therapeutic Use Exemptions shall not be considered an antidoping rule violation.
- **4.4.2** Subject to Article 4.4.3, Athletes included by WCF in its Registered Testing Pool and other Athletes participating in any International Event must obtain a TUE from WCF (regardless of whether the Athlete previously has received a TUE at the national level). The application for a TUE must be made as soon as possible (in the case of an Athlete in the Registered Testing Pool, this would be when he/she is first notified of his/her inclusion in the pool) and in any event (save in emergency situations) no later than 21 days before the Athlete's participation in the Event.
- **4.4.3** The only exception to Article 4.4.2 is that, in accordance with Article 7.13 of the *International Standard* for Therapeutic Use Exemptions, *Athletes* not in WCF's *Registered Testing Pool* who inhale Glucocorticosteroids and/or formoterol, salbutamol, salmeterol or terbutaline to treat asthma or one of its clinical variants do not need a TUE in advance of participating in an *International Event* unless so specified by WCF. Instead, if necessary, any such *Athlete* may apply for a Retroactive TUE after the *Event* in accordance with Article 7.13 of the *International Standard* for Therapeutic Use Exemptions and Article 7.1.3 of these Anti-Doping Rules.
- **4.4.4** TUE's granted by WCF shall be reported to the *Athlete's Member Association* and to *WADA*. Other *Athletes* subject to *Testing* who need to use a *Prohibited Substance* or a *Prohibited Method* for therapeutic reasons must obtain a TUE from their *National Anti-Doping Organization* or other body designated by their *Member Association*, as required under the rules of the *National Anti-Doping Organization*/other body. *Member Associations* shall promptly report any such TUE's to WCF and *WADA*.
- **4.4.5** The WCF Executive shall appoint a panel of physicians to consider requests for TUE's (the **"TUE Panel"**). Upon WCF's receipt of a TUE request, the Chair of the TUE Panel shall appoint one or more members of the TUE Panel (which may include the Chair) to consider such request. The TUE Panel member(s) so

designated shall promptly evaluate such request in accordance with the *International Standard* for Therapeutic Use Exemptions and render a decision on such request, which shall be the final decision of WCF.

**4.4.6** *WADA*, at the request of an *Athlete* or on its own initiation, may review the granting or denial of any TUE by WCF. If *WADA* determines that the granting or denial of a TUE did not comply with the *International Standard* for Therapeutic Use Exemptions in force at the time then *WADA* may reverse that decision. Decisions on TUE's are subject to further appeal as provided in Article 13.

#### **ARTICLE 5 TESTING**

### 5.1 Authority to *Test*

All Athletes under the jurisdiction of a Member Association shall be subject to In-Competition Testing by WCF, the Athlete's Member Association, and any other Anti-Doping Organization responsible for Testing at a Competition or Event in which they participate. In-Competition commences on the day of the official competition practice sessions and ends after the closing ceremony. All Athletes under the jurisdiction of a Member Association, including Athletes serving a period of ineligibility or a Provisional Suspension, shall also be subject to Out-of-Competition Testing at any time or place, with or without advance notice, by WCF, WADA, the Athlete's Member Association, the National Anti-Doping Organization of any country where the Athlete is present, the IOC during the Olympic Games, and the IPC during Paralympic Games. Target Testing will be made a priority.

[Comment to Article 5.1: Target Testing is specified because random Testing, or even weighted random Testing, does not ensure that all of the appropriate Athletes will be tested (e.g., world-class Athletes, Athletes whose performances have dramatically improved over a short period of time, Athletes whose coaches have had other Athletes test positive, etc.). Obviously, Target Testing must not be used for any purposes other than legitimate Doping Control. The Code makes it clear that Athletes have no right to expect that they will be tested only on a random basis. Similarly, it does not impose any reasonable suspicion or probable cause requirement for Target Testing]

# 5.2 Responsibility for WCF Testing

The WCF shall be responsible for drawing up a test distribution plan for the sport of curling in accordance with Article 4 of the *International Standard* for *Testing*, and for the implementation of that plan, including overseeing all *Testing* conducted by or on behalf of WCF. *Testing* may be conducted by members of the WCF or by other qualified persons so authorized by WCF.

### **5.3** *Testing* Standards

*Testing* conducted by WCF and its *Member Associations* shall be in substantial conformity with the *International Standard* for *Testing* in force at the time of *Testing*.

**5.3.1** Blood (or other non-urine) *Samples* may be used to detect *Prohibited Substances* or *Prohibited Methods*, for screening procedure purposes, or for longitudinal hematological profiling ("the passport"). If the *Sample* is collected for screening only, it will have no consequences for the *Athlete* other than to identify him/her for a urine test under these anti-doping rules. In these circumstances, the WCF may decide at its own discretion which blood parameters are to be measured in the screening *Sample* and what levels of those parameters will be used to indicate that an *Athlete* should be selected for a urine test. If however, the Sample is collected for longitudinal hematological profiling ("the passport"), it may be used for anti-doping purposes in accordance with Article 2.2 of the *Code*.

### **5.4** Coordination of *Testing*

WCF and *Member Associations* shall promptly report completed tests through the *WADA* clearinghouse to avoid unnecessary duplication in *Testing*.

### 5.5 Athlete Whereabouts Requirements

**5.5.1** WCF shall identify a *Registered Testing Pool* of those Athletes who are required to comply with the whereabouts requirements of the *International Standard* for *Testing*, and shall publish the criteria for *Athletes* to be included in this *Registered* Testing Pool as well as a list of the Athletes meeting those criteria for the period in question. WCF shall review and update as necessary its criteria for including Athletes in its Registered Testing Pool, and shall revise the membership of its Registered Testing Pool from time to time as appropriate in accordance with the set criteria. Each Athlete in the registered Testing Pool shall file quarterly reports with WCF on forms provided by WCF which specify on a daily basis the locations and times where the Athlete will be residing, training and competing. Athletes shall update this information as necessary so that it is current at all times. In addition to this information, each Athlete shall also specify for each day during the following quarter one specific location and one specific 60-minute time-slot during the day where the athlete will be available and accessible for Testing at that location. This does not limit in any way the possibility to test the Athlete at any time or place outside the 60-minute time-slot. The ultimate responsibility for providing whereabouts information rests with each athlete, however, it shall be the responsibility of each

National Federation to use its best efforts to assist WCF in obtaining whereabouts information as requested by WCF.

[Comment to Article 5.5.1: The purpose of the WCF Registered Testing Pool is to identify top-level International Athletes who the WCF requires to provide whereabouts information to facilitate Out-of-Competition Testing by WCF and other Anti-Doping Organizations with jurisdiction over the Athletes. WCF will identify such Athletes in accordance with the requirements of Articles 4 and 11.2 of the International Standard for Testing.]

- **5.5.2** Any Athlete in the WCF Registered Testing Pool who fails to timely submit a required quarterly whereabouts report after receipt of two formal written warnings from WCF or a Member Association to do so in the preceding 18 months shall be considered to have committed an anti-doping rule violation pursuant to Article 2.4.
- **5.5.3** Any Athlete in the WCF Registered Testing Pool who is unavailable for Testing on three attempts during any period of 18 consecutive months shall be considered to have committed an anti-doping rule violation pursuant to Article 2.4. For each attempt, the Doping Control Officer shall visit the specified location during the 60-minute time-slot and do what is reasonable in the circumstances to try to locate the Athlete. Notification shall be sent to the Athlete between each attempt which is to be counted as an unavailable test.
- **5.5.4** Each *Member Association* shall also assist its *National Anti-Doping Organization* in establishing a national level *Registered Testing Pool* of top level national *Athletes* to whom the whereabouts requirements of the *International Standard* for *Testing* shall also apply. Where those *Athletes* are also in the WCF's *Registered Testing Pool*, the WCF and the *National Anti-Doping Organization* will agree (with the assistance of *WADA* if required) on which of them will take responsibility for receiving whereabouts filings from the *Athlete* and sharing it with the other (and with other *Anti-Doping Organizations*) in accordance with Article 5.5.5.
- **5.5.5** Whereabouts information provided pursuant to Articles 5.5.1 and 5.5.4 shall be shared with *WADA* and other *Anti-Doping Organizations* having jurisdiction to test an *Athlete* in accordance with Articles 11.7.1(d) and 11.7.3(d) of the *International Standard* for *Testing*, including the strict condition that it be used only for *Doping Control* purposes.

### 5.6 Retirement and Return to Competition

- **5.6.1** An *Athlete* who has been identified by WCF for inclusion in WCF's *Registered Testing Pool* shall continue to be subject to these Anti-Doping Rules, including the obligation to comply with the whereabouts requirements of the *International Standard* for *Testing* unless and until the *Athlete* gives written notice to WCF that he or she has retired or until he or she no longer satisfies the criteria for inclusion in WCF's *Registered Testing Pool* and has been so informed by WCF.
- **5.6.2** An *Athlete* who has given notice of retirement to WCF may not resume competing unless he or she notifies WCF at least six months before he or she expects to return to competition and makes him/herself available for unannounced *Out-of-Competition Testing*, including (if requested) complying with the whereabouts requirements of the *International Standard* for *Testing*, at any time during the period before actual return to competition.
- **5.6.3** Member Associations/National Anti-Doping Organizations may establish similar requirements for retirement and returning to competition for Athletes in the national Registered Testing Pool.

#### 5.7 Selection of Athletes to be Tested

- **5.7.1** At *International Events*, the WCF shall determine the number of finishing placement tests, random tests and target tests to be performed.
- **5.7.2** At *National Events*, each *Member Association* shall determine the number of Athletes selected for *Testing* in each *Competition* and the procedures for selecting the *Athletes* for *Testing*.
- **5.7.3** In addition to the selection procedures set forth in Articles 5.7.1 and 5.7.2 above, the WCF at *International Events*, and the *Member Association* at *National Events*, may also select *Athletes* or teams for *Target Testing* so long as such *Target Testing* is not used for any purpose other than legitimate *Doping Control* purposes.
- **5.7.4** Athletes shall be selected for Out-of-Competition Testing by the WCF and by Member Associations through a process that substantially complies with the International Standard for Testing in force at the time of selection.
- **5.8** *Member Associations* and the organizing committees for *Member Association Events* shall provide access to *Independent Observers* at *Events* as directed by WCF.

#### ARTICLE 6 ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES

Doping Control Samples collected under these Anti-Doping Rules shall be analyzed in accordance with the following principles:

### **6.1** Use of Approved Laboratories

WCF shall send *Doping Control Samples* for analysis only to *WADA*-accredited laboratories or as otherwise approved by *WADA*. The choice of the *WADA*-accredited laboratory (or other laboratory or method approved by *WADA*) used for the *Sample* analysis shall be determined exclusively by WCF.

[Comment to Article 6.1: Violations of Article 2.1 (Presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers) may be established only by Sample analysis performed by a WADA-approved laboratory or another laboratory specifically authorized by WADA. Violations of other Articles may be established using analytical results from other laboratories so long as the results are reliable.]

### 6.2 Purpose of Collection and Analysis of Samples

Samples shall be analyzed to detect *Prohibited Substances* and *Prohibited Methods* identified on the *Prohibited List* and other substances as may be directed by *WADA* pursuant to the Monitoring Program described in Article 4.5 of the *Code* or to assist WCF in profiling relevant parameters in an *Athlete's* urine, blood or other matrix, including DNA or genomic profiling, for anti-doping purposes.

[Comment to Article 6.2: For example, relevant profile information could be used to direct Target Testing or to support an anti-doping rule violation proceeding under Article 2.2 (Use of a Prohibited Substance), or both.]

# 6.3 Research on Samples

No Sample may be used for any purpose other than as described in Article 6.2 without the Athlete's written consent. Samples used (with the Athlete's consent) for purposes other than Article 6.2 shall have any means of identification removed such that they cannot be traced back to a particular Athlete.

#### 6.4 Standards for Sample Analysis and Reporting

Laboratories shall analyze *Doping Control Samples* and report results in conformity with the *International Standard* for Laboratories.

### **6.5 Retesting Samples**

A *Sample* may be reanalyzed for the purposes described in Article 6.2 at any time exclusively at the direction of WCF or *WADA*. The circumstances and conditions for retesting *Samples* shall conform with the requirements of the *International Standard* for Laboratories.

[Comment to Article 6.5: Although this Article is new, Anti-Doping Organizations have always had the authority to reanalyze Samples. The International Standard for Laboratories or a new technical document which is made a part of the International Standard will harmonize the protocol for such retesting.]

#### ARTICLE 7 RESULTS MANAGEMENT

### 7.1 Results Management for Tests Initiated by WCF

Results management for tests initiated by WCF (including tests performed by *WADA* pursuant to agreement with WCF) shall proceed as set forth below:

- **7.1.1** The results from all analyses must be sent to WCF in encoded form, in a report signed by an authorised representative of the laboratory. All communication must be conducted in confidentiality and in conformity with *ADAMS*, a database management tool developed by *WADA*. *ADAMS* is consistent with data privacy statutes and norms applicable to *WADA* and other organizations using it.
- **7.1.2** Upon receipt of an A Sample Adverse Analytical Finding, the WCF Anti-Doping Administrator shall conduct a review to determine whether: (a) the Adverse Analytical Finding is consistent with an applicable TUE, or (b) there is any apparent departure from the International Standard for Testing or International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Adverse Analytical Finding.
  - **7.1.2.1** The WCF Executive shall appoint an Independent Doping Review Panel consisting of a Chair and 2 other members with experience in anti-doping. All members of the panel shall be otherwise independent from WCF. Each panel member shall serve a term of four years. In each case the Chair of the panel shall appoint 1 or more members of the panel (which may include the Chair) to conduct the review discussed in Articles 7.1.2 and 7.1.8 and to review any other potential violations of these Anti-Doping Rules as may be requested by WCF.

### **7.1.3** In the following circumstances:

- (a) The Adverse Analytical Finding is for a Glucocorticosteroid, formoterol, salbutamol, salmeterol or terbutaline; and
- (b) The Sample in question was provided by an Athlete who is not in WCF's Registered Testing Pool, during his/her participation in an International Event for which (in accordance with Article 7.13 of the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions and Article 4.4.3 of these Anti-Doping Rules WCF does not require a TUE for asthma medication in advance;

then, before the matter is referred to the WCF Anti-Doping Administrator under Article 7.1, the *Athlete* shall be given an opportunity to apply to the TUE Committee for a Retroactive TUE in accordance with Article 7.13 of the *International Standard* for Therapeutic Use Exemptions. The result of that application shall be forwarded to the WCF Anti-Doping Administrator for consideration in its review of the *Adverse Analytical Finding* under Article 7.1.2.

**7.1.4** If the initial review of an Adverse Analytical Finding under Article 7.1.2 does not reveal an applicable TUE, or departure from the International Standard for Testing or the International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Adverse Analytical Finding, WCF shall promptly notify the Athlete of: (a) the Adverse Analytical Finding; (b) the anti-doping rule violated; (c) the Athlete's right to promptly request the analysis of the B Sample or, failing such request, that the B Sample analysis may be deemed waived; (d) the scheduled date, time and place for the B Sample analysis (which shall be within the time period specified in the *International* Standard for Laboratories) if the Athlete or WCF chooses to request an analysis of the B Sample; (e) the opportunity for the Athlete and/or the Athlete's representative to attend the B Sample opening and analysis at the scheduled date, time and place if such analysis is requested; and (f) the Athlete's right to request copies of the A and B Sample laboratory documentation package which includes information as required by the International Standard for Laboratories. WCF shall also notify the Athlete's National Anti-Doping Organization and WADA. If WCF decides not to bring forward the Adverse Analytical Finding as an anti-doping rule violation, it shall so notify the Athlete, the Athlete's National Anti-Doping Organization and WADA.

- **7.1.5** Where requested by the *Athlete* or WCF, arrangements shall be made for *Testing* the B *Sample* within the time period specified in the *International Standard* for *Testing*. An *Athlete* may accept the A *Sample* analytical results by waiving the requirement for B *Sample* analysis. WCF may nonetheless elect to proceed with the B *Sample* analysis.
- **7.1.6** The *Athlete* and/or his representative shall be allowed to be present at the analysis of the B *Sample* within the time period specified in the *International Standard* for Laboratories. Also a representative of the *Athlete*'s *Member Association* as well as a representative of WCF shall be allowed to be present.
- **7.1.7** If the B Sample proves negative, then (unless WCF takes the case forward as an anti-doping rule violation under Article 2.2) the entire test shall be considered negative and the Athlete, his Member Association, and WCF shall be so informed.
- **7.1.8** If a *Prohibited Substance* or the *Use* of a *Prohibited Method* is identified, the findings shall be reported to the *Athlete*, his *Member Association*, WCF, and to *WADA*.
- **7.1.9** For apparent anti-doping rule violations that do not involve *Adverse Analytical Findings*, WCF shall conduct any necessary follow-up investigation and, at such time as it is satisfied that an anti-doping rule violation has occurred, it shall then promptly notify the *Athlete* of the anti-doping rule which appears to have been violated, and the basis of the violation.

# 7.2 Results Management for Atypical Findings

- **7.2.1** As provided in the *International Standards*, in certain circumstances laboratories are directed to report the presence of *Prohibited Substances* that may also be produced endogenously as *Atypical Findings* that should be investigated further.
- **7.2.2** If a laboratory reports an *Atypical Finding* in respect of a *Sample* collected from an *Athlete* by or on behalf of WCF, the WCF Anti-Doping Administrator shall conduct a review to determine whether: (a) the *Atypical Finding* is consistent with an applicable TUE that has been granted as provided in the *International Standard* for Therapeutic Use Exemptions, or (b) there is any apparent departure from the *International Standard* for *Testing* or *International Standard* for Laboratories that caused the *Atypical Analytical Finding*.

- **7.2.3** If the initial review of an *Atypical Finding* under Article 7.2.2 reveals an applicable TUE or departure from the *International Standard* for *Testing* or the *International Standard* for Laboratories that caused the *Atypical Finding*, the entire test shall be considered negative and the *Athlete*, his *Member Association*, and WCF shall be so informed.
- **7.2.4** If the initial review of an *Atypical Finding* under Article 7.2.2 does not reveal an applicable TUE or departure from the *International Standard* for *Testing* or the *International Standard* for Laboratories that caused the *Atypical Finding*, WCF shall conduct the follow-up investigation required by the *International Standards*. If, once that investigation is completed, it is concluded that the *Atypical Finding* should be considered an *Adverse Analytical Finding*, WCF shall pursue the matter in accordance with Article 7.1.3.
- **7.2.5** WCF will not provide notice of an *Atypical Finding* until it has completed its investigation and has decided whether it will bring the *Atypical Finding* forward as an *Adverse Analytical Finding* unless one of the following circumstances exists:
- (a) If WCF determines the B Sample should be analyzed prior to the conclusion of its follow-up investigation, it may conduct the B Sample analysis after notifying the Athlete, with such notice to include a description of the Atypical Finding and the information described in Article 7.1.3(c) to (f).
- (b) If WCF receives a request, either from a *Major Event Organization* shortly before one of its *International Events* or from a sports organization responsible for meeting an imminent deadline for selecting team members for an *International Event*, to disclose whether any *Athlete* identified on a list provided by the *Major Event Organization* or sports organization has a pending *Atypical Finding*, WCF shall so identify any such *Athlete* after first providing notice of the *Atypical Finding* to the *Athlete*.

# 7.3 Results Management for *Tests* Initiated During Other *International Events*

Results management and the conduct of hearings from a test by the International Olympic Committee, the International Paralympic Committee, or a Major Event Organization, shall be managed, as far as sanctions beyond Disqualification from the Event or the results of the Event, by WCF.

# 7.4 Results Management for *Tests* initiated by *Member Associations*

Results management conducted by *Member Associations* shall be consistent with the general principles for effective and fair results management which underlie the detailed provisions set forth in Article 7. Results of all *Doping Controls* shall be reported to WCF and to WADA within 14 days of the conclusion of the *Member Association's* results management process. Any apparent anti-doping rule violation by an *Athlete* who is a member of that *Member Association* shall be promptly referred to an appropriate hearing panel established pursuant to the rules of the *Member Association* or national law. Apparent anti-doping rule violations by *Athletes* who are members of another *Member Association* shall be referred to the *Athlete's Member Association* for hearing.

### 7.5 Results Management for Whereabouts Violations

- **7.5.1** Results management in respect of an apparent *Filing Failure* by an *Athlete* in WCF's *Registered Testing Pool* shall be conducted by WCF in accordance with Article 11.6.2 of the *International Standard* for *Testing* (unless it has been agreed in accordance with Article 5.5.4 that the *Member Association* or *National Anti-Doping Organization* shall take such responsibility).
- **7.5.2** Results management in respect of an apparent Missed Test by an *Athlete* in WCF's *Registered Testing Pool* as a result of an attempt to test the *Athlete* by or on behalf of WCF shall be conducted by WCF in accordance with Article 11.6.3 of the *International Standard* for Testing. Results management in respect of an apparent Missed Test by such *Athlete* as a result of an attempt to test the *Athlete* by or on behalf of another *Anti-Doping Organization* shall be conducted by that other *Anti-Doping Organization* in accordance with Article 11.7.6(c) of the *International Standard* for *Testing*.
- **7.5.3** Where, in any eighteen-month period, an *Athlete* in WCF's *Registered Testing Pool* is declared to have three Filing Failures, or three Missed Tests, or any combination of Filing Failures or Missed Tests adding up to three in total, whether under these Anti-Doping Rules or under the rules of any other *Anti-Doping Organization*, WCF shall bring them forward as an apparent anti-doping rule violation.

#### 7.6 Provisional Suspensions

**7.6.1** If analysis of an A Sample has resulted in an Adverse Analytical Finding for a Prohibited Substance that is not a Specified Substance, and a review in accordance with Article 7.1.2 does not reveal an applicable TUE or departure from the International Standard for Testing or the International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Adverse Analytical Finding, WCF shall Provisionally

Suspend the Athlete pending the hearing panel's determination of whether he/she has committed an anti-doping rule violation.

- **7.6.2** In any case not covered by Article 7.6.1 where WCF decides to take the matter forward as an apparent anti-doping rule violation in accordance with the foregoing provisions of this Article 7, the WCF Executive, after consultation with the WCF Anti-Doping Administrator, may Provisionally Suspend the *Athlete* pending the hearing panel's determination of whether he/she has committed an anti-doping rule violation.
- **7.6.3** Where a *Provisional Suspension* is imposed, whether pursuant to Article 7.6.1 or Article 7.6.2, the *Athlete* shall be given either (a) an opportunity for a *Provisional Hearing* before imposition of the *Provisional Suspension* or on a timely basis after imposition of the *Provisional Suspension*; or (b) an opportunity for an expedited hearing in accordance with Article 8 (Right to a Fair Hearing) on a timely basis after imposition of a *Provisional Suspension*. *Member Associations* shall impose *Provisional Suspensions* in accordance with the principles set forth in this Article 7.6.
- **7.6.4** If a *Provisional Suspension* is imposed based on an *Adverse Analytical Finding* in respect of an A *Sample*, and any subsequent analysis of the B *Sample* analysis does not confirm the A *Sample* analysis, then the *Athlete* shall not be subject to any further *Provisional Suspension* on account of a violation of Article 2.1 of the *Code* (Presence of a *Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolites* or *Markers*). In circumstances where the *Athlete* (or the *Athlete's* team as may be provided in the rules of the WCF) has been removed from a *Competition* based on a violation of Article 2.1 and the subsequent B *Sample* analysis does not confirm the A *Sample* finding, if, without otherwise affecting the *Competition*, it is still possible for the *Athlete* or team to be reinserted, the *Athlete* or team may continue to take part in the *Competition*.

[Comment to Article 7.6: Before a Provisional Suspension can be unilaterally imposed by an Anti-Doping Organization, the internal review specified in the Code must first be completed. In addition, a Signatory imposing a Provisional Suspension is required to give the Athlete an opportunity for a Provisional Hearing either before or promptly after the imposition of the Provisional Suspension, or an expedited final hearing under Article 8 promptly after imposition of the Provisional Suspension. The Athlete has a right to appeal under Article 13.2.

In the rare circumstance where the B Sample analysis does not confirm the A Sample finding, the Athlete who had been provisionally suspended will be allowed, where circumstances permit, to participate in subsequent Competitions during the Event. Similarly, depending upon the relevant rules of

the International Federation in a Team Sport, if the team is still in Competition, the Athlete may be able to take part in future Competitions.

Athletes shall receive credit for a Provisional Suspension against any period of Ineligibility which is ultimately imposed as provided in Article 10.9.3.]

# 7.7 Retirement from Sport

If an *Athlete* or other *Person* retires while a results management process is underway, WCF retains jurisdiction to complete its results management process. If an *Athlete* or other *Person* retires before any results management process has begun and WCF would have had results management jurisdiction over the *Athlete* or other *Person* at the time the *Athlete* or other *Person* committed an anti-doping rule violation, WCF has jurisdiction to conduct results management.

[Comment to Article 7.7: Conduct by an Athlete or other Person before the Athlete or other Person was subject to the jurisdiction of any Anti-Doping Organization would not constitute an anti-doping rule violation but could be a legitimate basis for denying the Athlete or other Person membership in a sports organization.]

#### **ARTICLE 8 RIGHT TO A FAIR HEARING**

# 8.1 Hearings arising out of WCF *Testing* or *Tests* at *International Events*

- **8.1.1** The WCF Executive shall appoint a standing panel consisting of a Chair and four other experts with experience in anti-doping ("WCF Doping Hearing Panel"). The Chair shall be a lawyer. Each panel member shall be otherwise independent of WCF. Each panel member shall serve a term of four years.
- **8.1.2** When it appears, following the Results Management process described in Article 7, that these Anti-Doping Rules have been violated in connection with WCF *Testing* or *Testing* at an *International Event* then the case shall be assigned to the WCF Doping Hearing Panel for adjudication.
- **8.1.3** The Chair of the WCF Doping Hearing Panel shall appoint three members from the panel (which may include the Chair) to hear each case. At least one appointed member shall be a lawyer. The appointed members shall have had no prior involvement with the case and shall not have the same nationality as the *Athlete* or other *Person* alleged to have violated these Anti-Doping Rules.
- **8.1.4** Hearings pursuant to this Article shall be completed expeditiously following the completion of the results management process described in Article 7. Hearings held in connection with *Events* may be conducted on an expedited basis.
- **8.1.5** The *Member Association* of the *Athlete* or other *Person* alleged to have violated these Anti-Doping Rules may attend the hearing as an observer.
- **8.1.6** WCF shall keep WADA fully apprised as to the status of pending cases and the result of all hearings.
- **8.1.7** An *Athlete* or other *Person* may forego a hearing by acknowledging the Anti-Doping Rule violation and accepting *Consequences* consistent with Articles 9 and 10 as proposed by WCF. The right to a hearing may be waived either expressly or by the *Athlete's* or other *Person's* failure to challenge WCF's assertion that an anti-doping rule violation has occurred within 21 days of receipt by the Athlete of advice of the assertion. Where no hearing occurs the persons described in Article 13.2.3 a reasoned decision explaining the action taken.
- **8.1.8** Decisions of the WCF Doping Hearing Panel may be appealed to Court of Arbitration for Sport as provided in Article 13.

# 8.2 Hearings Arising Out of National Testing

- **8.2.1** When it appears, following the Results Management process described in Article 7, that these Anti-Doping Rules have been violated in connection with *Testing* other than in connection with WCF *Testing* or *Testing* at an *International Event*, the *Athlete* or other *Person* involved shall be brought before a disciplinary panel of the *Athlete* or other *Person's Member Association* for a hearing to adjudicate whether a violation of these Anti-Doping Rules occurred and if so what *Consequences* should be imposed.
- **8.2.2** Hearings pursuant to this Article 8.2 shall be completed expeditiously and in all cases within three months of the completion of the Results Management process described in Article 7. Hearings held in connection with *Events* may be conducted by an expedited process. If the completion of the hearing is delayed beyond three months, WCF may elect to bring the case directly before the WCF Doping Hearing Panel at the responsibility and at the expense of the *Member Association*.
- **8.2.3** *Member Associations* shall keep WCF and *WADA* fully apprised as to the status of pending cases and the results of all hearings.
- **8.2.4** WCF and WADA shall have the right to attend hearings as an observer.
- **8.2.5** The *Athlete* or other *Person* may forego a hearing by acknowledging the violation of these Anti-Doping Rules and accepting *Consequences* consistent with Articles 9 and 10 as proposed by the *Member Association*. The right to a hearing may be waived either expressly or by the *Athlete's* or other *Person's* failure to challenge the *Member Association's* assertion that an anti-doping rule violation has occurred within 21 days of receipt by the Athlete of advice of the assertion. Where no hearing occurs, the *Member Association* shall submit to the persons described in Article 13.2.3 a reasoned decision explaining the action taken.
- **8.2.6** Decisions by *Member Associations*, whether as the result of a hearing or the *Athlete* or other *Person's* acceptance of *Consequences*, may be appealed as provided in Article 13.
- **8.2.7** Hearing decisions by the *Member Association* shall not be subject to further administrative review at the national level except as provided in Article 13 or required by applicable national law.

- **8.3 Principles for a Fair Hearing** All hearings pursuant to either Article 8.1 or 8.2 shall respect the following principles:
- a timely hearing;
- fair and impartial hearing panel;
- the right to be represented by counsel at the *Person*'s own expense;
- the right to be informed in a fair and timely manner of the asserted antidoping rule violation;
- the right to respond to the asserted anti-doping rule violation and resulting Consequences;
- the right of each party to present evidence, including the right to call and question witnesses (subject to the hearing panel's discretion to accept testimony by telephone or written submission);
- the *Person*'s right to an interpreter at the hearing, with the hearing panel to determine the identity, and responsibility for the cost of the interpreter; and
- a timely, written, reasoned decision, specifically including an explanation of the reason(s) for any period of *Ineligibility*.

# ARTICLE 9 AUTOMATIC *DISQUALIFICATION* OF INDIVIDUAL RESULTS

A violation of these Anti-Doping Rules in connection with an *In-Competition* test automatically leads to *Disqualification* of the result obtained in that *Competition* with all resulting consequences, including forfeiture of any medals, points and prizes.

#### ARTICLE 10 SANCTIONS ON INDIVIDUALS

# 10.1 *Disqualification* of Results in *Event* During which an *Anti-Doping Rule* Violation Occurs

An Anti-Doping Rule violation occurring during or in connection with an Event may lead to Disqualification of all of the Athlete's individual results obtained in that Event with all consequences, including forfeiture of all medals, points and prizes, except as provided in Article 10.1.1.

**10.1.1** If the *Athlete* establishes that he or she bears *No Fault or Negligence* for the violation, the *Athlete's* individual results in the other *Competition* shall not be *Disqualified* unless the *Athlete's* results in *Competition* other than the *Competition* in which the anti-doping rule violation occurred were likely to have been affected by the *Athlete's* anti-doping rule violation.

# 10.2 Ineligibility for Presence, Use or Attempted Use, or Possession of Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods

The period of *Ineligibility* imposed for a violation of Article 2.1 (Presence of *Prohibited Substance* or its *Metabolites* or *Markers*), Article 2.2 (*Use* or *Attempted Use* of *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method*) or Article 2.6 (*Possession* of *Prohibited Substances* and *Methods*) shall be as follows, unless the conditions for eliminating or reducing the period of *Ineligibility*, as provided in Articles 10.4 and 10.5, or the conditions for increasing the period of *Ineligibility*, as provided in Article 10.6, are met:

First violation: Two (2) years' Ineligibility.

# 10.3 Ineligibility for Other Anti-Doping Rule Violations

The period of *Ineligibility* for violations of these Anti-Doping Rules other than as provided in Article 10.2 shall be as follows:

- **10.3.1** For violations of Article 2.3 (refusing or failing to submit to *Sample* collection) or Article 2.5 (*Tampering* with *Doping Control*), the *Ineligibility* period shall be two (2) years unless the conditions provided in Article 10.5, or the conditions provided in Article 10.6, are met.
- **10.3.2** For violations of Article 2.7 (*Trafficking*) or Article 2.8 (Administration of *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method*), the period of *Ineligibility* imposed shall be a minimum of four (4) years up to lifetime *Ineligibility* unless the conditions provided in Article 10.5 are met. An anti-doping rule violation involving a *Minor* shall be considered a particularly serious violation, and, if committed by *Athlete Support Personnel* for violations other than Specified Substances shall result in lifetime *Ineligibility* for such *Athlete Support Personnel*. In addition, significant violations of such Articles which also violate non-sporting laws and regulations, shall be reported to the competent administrative, professional or judicial authorities.
- **10.3.3** For violations of Article 2.4 (Filing Failures and/ or Missed Tests), the period of *Ineligibility* shall be at a minimum one (1) year and at a maximum two (2) years based on the *Athlete's* degree of fault.

[Comment to Article 10.3.3: The sanction under Article 10.3.3 shall be two years where all three filing failures or missed tests are inexcusable. Otherwise, the sanction shall be assessed in the range of two years to one year, based on the circumstances of the case.]

# **10.4 Elimination or Reduction of the Period of** *Ineligibility* **for Specified Substances under Specific Circumstances**

Where an *Athlete* or other *Person* can establish how a Specified Substance entered his or her body or came into his or her possession and that such Specified Substance was not intended to enhance the *Athlete's* sport performance or mask the use of a performance-enhancing substance, the period of *Ineligibility* found in Article 10.2 shall be replaced with the following:

<u>First violation</u>: At a minimum, a reprimand and no period of *Ineligibility* from future *Events*, and at a maximum, two (2) years of *Ineligibility*.

To justify any elimination or reduction, the *Athlete* or other *Person* must produce corroborating evidence in addition to his or her word which establishes to the comfortable satisfaction of the hearing panel the absence of an intent to enhance sport performance or mask the use of a performance enhancing substance. The *Athlete* or other *Person*'s degree of fault shall be the criterion considered in assessing any reduction of the period of *Ineligibility*.

[Comment to Article 10.4: Specified Substances as now defined in Article 4.2.2 are not necessarily less serious agents for purposes of sports doping than other Prohibited Substances (for example, a stimulant that is listed as a Specified Substance could be very effective to an Athlete in competition); for that reason, an Athlete who does not meet the criteria under this Article would receive a two-year period of Ineligibility and could receive up to a four-year period of Ineligibility under Article 10.6. However, there is a greater likelihood that Specified Substances, as opposed to other Prohibited Substances, could be susceptible to a credible, non-doping explanation.

This Article applies only in those cases where the hearing panel is comfortably satisfied by the objective circumstances of the case that the Athlete in taking a Prohibited Substance did not intend to enhance his or her sport performance. Examples of the type of objective circumstances which in combination might lead a hearing panel to be comfortably satisfied of no performance-enhancing intent would include: the fact that the nature of the Specified Substance or the timing of its ingestion would not have been beneficial to the Athlete; the Athlete's open Use or disclosure of his or her Use of the Specified Substance; and a contemporaneous medical records file substantiating the non-sport-related prescription for the Specified Substance. Generally, the greater the potential performance-enhancing benefit, the higher the burden on the Athlete to prove lack of an intent to enhance sport performance.

While the absence of intent to enhance sport performance must be established to the comfortable satisfaction of the hearing panel, the Athlete may establish how the Specified Substance entered the body by a balance of probability.

In assessing the Athlete's or other Person's degree of fault, the circumstances considered must be specific and relevant to explain the Athlete's or other Person's departure from the expected standard of behavior. Thus, for example, the fact that

an Athlete would lose the opportunity to earn large sums of money during a period of Ineligibility or the fact that the Athlete only has a short time left in his or her career or the timing of the sporting calendar would not be relevant factors to be considered in reducing the period of Ineligibility under this Article. It is anticipated that the period of Ineligibility will be eliminated entirely in only the most exceptional cases.]

# 10.5 Elimination or Reduction of Period of *Ineligibility* Based on Exceptional Circumstances

#### **10.5.1** *No Fault or Negligence*

If an Athlete establishes in an individual case that he or she bears No Fault or Negligence, the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility shall be eliminated. When a Prohibited Substance or its Markers or Metabolites is detected in an Athlete's Sample in violation of Article 2.1 (presence of Prohibited Substance), the Athlete must also establish how the Prohibited Substance entered his or her system in order to have the period of Ineligibility eliminated. In the event this Article is applied and the period of Ineligibility otherwise applicable is eliminated, the anti-doping rule violation shall not be considered a violation for the limited purpose of determining the period of Ineligibility for multiple violations under Article 10.7.

# **10.5.2** No Significant Fault or Negligence

If an Athlete or other Person establishes in an individual case that he or she bears No Significant Fault or Negligence, then the period of Ineligibility may be reduced, but the reduced period of Ineligibility may not be less than one-half of the period of Ineligibility otherwise applicable. If the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility is a lifetime, the reduced period under this section may be no less than 8 years. When a Prohibited Substance or its Markers or Metabolites is detected in an Athlete's Sample in violation of Article 2.1 (Presence of Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers), the Athlete must also establish how the Prohibited Substance entered his or her system in order to have the period of Ineligibility reduced.

[Comment to Articles 10.5.1 and 10.5.2: WCF's Anti-Doping Rules provide for the possible reduction or elimination of the period of Ineligibility in the unique circumstance where the Athlete can establish that he or she had No Fault or Negligence, or No Significant Fault or Negligence, in connection with the violation. This approach is consistent with basic principles of human rights and provides a balance between those Anti-Doping Organizations that argue for a much narrower exception, or none at all, and those that would reduce a two year suspension based on a range of other factors even when the Athlete was admittedly at fault. These Articles apply only to the imposition of sanctions; they are not applicable to the determination of whether an anti-doping rule violation has occurred. Article 10.5.2 may be applied to any anti-doping violation even though it will be especially difficult

to meet the criteria for a reduction for those anti-doping rule violations where knowledge is an element of the violation.

Articles 10.5.1 and 10.5.2 are meant to have an impact only in cases where the circumstances are truly exceptional and not in the vast majority of cases.

To illustrate the operation of Article 10.5.1, an example where No Fault or Negligence would result in the total elimination of a sanction is where an Athlete could prove that, despite all due care, he or she was sabotaged by a competitor. Conversely, a sanction could not be completely eliminated on the basis of No Fault or Negligence in the following circumstances: (a) a positive test resulting from a mislabeled or contaminated vitamin or nutritional supplement (Athletes are responsible for what they ingest (Article 2.1.1) and have been warned against the possibility of supplement contamination); (b) the administration of a Prohibited Substance by the Athlete's personal physician or trainer without disclosure to the Athlete (Athletes are responsible for their choice of medical personnel and for advising medical personnel that they cannot be given any Prohibited Substance); and (c) sabotage of the Athlete's food or drink by a spouse, coach or other person within the Athlete's circle of associates (Athletes are responsible for what they ingest and for the conduct of those persons to whom they entrust access to their food and drink). However, depending on the unique facts of a particular case, any of the referenced illustrations could result in a reduced sanction based on No Significant Fault or Negligence. (For example, reduction may well be appropriate in illustration (a) if the Athlete clearly establishes that the cause of the positive test was contamination in a common multiple vitamin purchased from a source with no connection to Prohibited Substances and the Athlete exercised care in not taking other nutritional supplements.)

For purposes of assessing the Athlete or other Person's fault under Articles 10.5.1 and 10.5.2, the evidence considered must be specific and relevant to explain the Athlete or other Person's departure from the expected standard of behavior. Thus, for example the fact that an Athlete would lose the opportunity to earn large sums of money during a period of Ineligibility or the fact that the Athlete only has a short time left in his or her career or the timing of the sporting calendar would not be relevant factors to be considered in reducing the period of Ineligibility under this Article.

While minors are not given special treatment per se in determining the applicable sanction, certainly youth and lack of experience are relevant factors to be assessed in determining the Athlete or other Person's fault under Article 10.5.2, as well as Articles 10.4 and 10.5.1.

Article 10.5.2 should not be applied in cases where Articles 10.3.3 or 10.4 apply, as those Articles already take into consideration the Athlete or other Person's degree of fault for purposes of establishing the applicable period of Ineligibility.]

# **10.5.3** Substantial Assistance in Discovering or Establishing Anti-Doping Rule Violations

The WCF Executive may, prior to a final appellate decision under Article 13 or the expiration of the time to appeal, suspend a part of the period of *Ineliaibility* imposed in an individual case where the *Athlete* or other Person has provided Substantial Assistance to an Anti-Doping Organization, criminal authority or professional disciplinary body which results in the Anti-Doping Organization discovering or establishing an anti-doping rule violation by another Person or which results in a criminal or disciplinary body discovering or establishing a criminal offense or the breach of professional rules by another Person. After a final appellate decision under Article 13 or the expiration of time to appeal, WCF may only suspend a part of the applicable period of Ineligibility with the approval of WADA. The extent to which the otherwise applicable period of *Ineligibility* may be suspended shall be based on the seriousness of the anti-doping rule violation committed by the Athlete or other Person and the significance of the Substantial Assistance provided by the Athlete or other Person to the effort to eliminate doping in sport. No more than three-quarters of the otherwise applicable period of *Ineligibility* may be suspended. If the otherwise applicable period of *Ineligibility* is a lifetime, the nonsuspended period under this Article must be no less than 8 years. If WCF suspends any part of the period of Ineligibility under this Article, it shall promptly provide a written justification for its decision to each Anti-Doping Organization having a right to appeal the decision. If WCF subsequently reinstates any part of the suspended period of Ineligibility because the Athlete or other Person has failed to provide the Substantial Assistance which was anticipated, the Athlete or other *Person* may appeal the reinstatement pursuant to Article 13.2.

[Comment to Article 10.5.3: The cooperation of Athletes, Athlete Support Personnel and other Persons who acknowledge their mistakes and are willing to bring other anti-doping rule violations to light is important to clean sport.

# **10.5.4** Admission of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation in the Absence of Other Evidence

Where an *Athlete* or other *Person* voluntarily admits the commission of an anti-doping rule violation before having received notice of a *Sample* collection which could establish an anti-doping rule violation (or, in the case of an anti-doping rule violation other than Article 2.1, before receiving first notice of the admitted violation pursuant to Article 7) and that admission is the only reliable evidence of the violation at the time of admission, then the period of *Ineligibility* may be reduced, but not below one-half of the period of *Ineligibility* otherwise applicable.

[Comment to Article 10.5.4: This Article is intended to apply when an Athlete or other Person comes forward and admits to an anti-doping rule violation in circumstances where no Anti-Doping Organization is aware that an anti-doping rule violation might have been committed. It is not intended to apply to circumstances where the admission occurs after the Athlete or other Person knows he or she is about to be caught.]

**10.5.5** Where an *Athlete* or Other *Person* Establishes Entitlement to Reduction in Sanction under More than One Provision of this Article

Before applying any reductions under Articles 10.5.2, 10.5.3 or 10.5.4, the otherwise applicable period of *Ineligibility* shall be determined in accordance with Articles 10.2, 10.3, 10.4 and 10.6. If the *Athlete* or other *Person* establishes entitlement to a reduction or suspension of the period of *Ineligibility* under two or more of Articles 10.5.2, 10.5.3 or 10.5.4, then the period of *Ineligibility* may be reduced or suspended, but not below one-quarter of the otherwise applicable period of *Ineligibility*.

# **10.6 Aggravating Circumstances Which May Increase the Period of Ineligibility**

If WCF establishes in an individual case involving an anti-doping rule violation other than violations under Article 2.7 (Trafficking) and 2.8 (Administration) that aggravating circumstances are present which justify the imposition of a period of *Ineligibility* greater than the standard sanction, then the period of *Ineligibility* otherwise applicable shall be increased up to a maximum of four years unless the *Athlete* or other *Person* can prove to the comfortable satisfaction of the hearing panel that he did not knowingly violate the anti-doping rule.

An *Athlete* or other *Person* can avoid the application of this Article by admitting the anti-doping rule violation as asserted promptly after being confronted with the anti-doping rule violation by WCF.

### **10.7 Multiple Violations**

# 10.7.1 Second Anti-Doping Rule Violation

For an *Athlete's* or other *Person's* first anti-doping rule violation, the period of *Ineligibility* is set forth in Articles 10.2 and 10.3 (subject to elimination, reduction or suspension under Articles 10.4 or 10.5, or to an increase under Article 10.6). For a second anti-doping rule violation the period of Ineligibility shall be within the range set forth in the table below.

Second Violation	RS	FFMT	NSF	St	AS	TRA
First Violation						
RS	1-4	2-4	2-4	4-6	8-10	10-life
FFMT	1-4	4-8	4-8	6-8	10-life	life
NSF	1-4	4-8	4-8	6-8	10-life	life
St	2-4	6-8	6-8	8-life	life	life
AS	4-5	10-life	10-life	life	life	life
TRA	8-life	life	Life	life	life	life

Definitions for purposes of the second anti-doping rule violation table:

**RS** (Reduced sanction for Specified Substance under Article 10.4): The anti-doping rule violation was or should be sanctioned by a reduced sanction under Article 10.4 because it involved a Specified Substance and the other conditions under Article 10.4 were met.

**FFMT** (Filing Failures and/or Missed Tests): The anti-doping rule violation was or should be sanctioned under Article 10.3.3 (Filing Failures and/or Missed Tests).

**NSF** (Reduced sanction for *No Significant Fault* or *Negligence*): The anti-doping rule violation was or should be sanctioned by a reduced sanction under Article 10.5.2 because *No Significant Fault* or *Negligence* under Article 10.5.2 was proved by the *Athlete*.

**St** (Standard sanction under Articles 10.2 or 10.3.1): The anti-doping rule violation was or should be sanctioned by the standard sanction of two years under Article 10.2 or 10.3.1.

**AS** (Aggravated sanction): The anti-doping rule violation was or should be sanctioned by an aggravated sanction under Article 10.6 because the *Anti-Doping Organization* established the conditions set forth under Article 10.6.

**TRA** (*Trafficking* or *Attempted Trafficking* and administration or *Attempted* administration): The anti-doping rule violation was or should be sanctioned by a sanction under Article 10.3.2.

[Comment to Article 10.7.1: The table is applied by locating the Athlete or other Person's first anti-doping rule violation in the left-hand column and then moving across the table to the right to the column representing the second violation. By way of example, assume an Athlete receives the standard period of Ineligibility for a first violation under Article 10.2 and then commits a second violation for which he receives a reduced sanction for a Specified Substance under Article 10.4. The table is used to determine the period of Ineligibility for the second violation. The table is applied to this example by starting in the left-hand column and going down to the fourth row which is "St" for standard sanction, then moving across the table to the

first column which is "RS" for reduced sanction for a Specified Substance, thus resulting in a 2-4 year range for the period of Ineligibility for the second violation. The Athlete or other Person's degree of fault shall be the criterion considered in assessing a period of Ineligibility within the applicable range.]

[Comment to Article 10.7.1 RS Definition: See Article 25.4 with respect to application of Article 10.7.1 to pre-Code anti-doping rule violations.]

# **10.7.2** Application of Articles 10.5.3 and 10.5.4 to Second Anti-Doping Rule Violation

Where an *Athlete* or other *Person* who commits a second anti-doping rule violation establishes entitlement to suspension or reduction of a portion of the period of *Ineligibility* under Article 10.5.3 or Article 10.5.4, the hearing panel shall first determine the otherwise applicable period of *Ineligibility* within the range established in the table in Article 10.7.1, and then apply the appropriate suspension or reduction of the period of *Ineligibility*. The remaining period of *Ineligibility*, after applying any suspension or reduction under Articles 10.5.3 and 10.5.4, must be at least one-fourth of the otherwise applicable period of *Ineligibility*.

### **10.7.3** Third Anti-Doping Rule Violation

A third anti-doping rule violation will always result in a lifetime period of *Ineligibility*, except if the third violation fulfills the condition for elimination or reduction of the period of *Ineligibility* under Article 10.4 or involves a violation of Article 2.4 (Filing Failures and/or and Missed Tests). In these particular cases, the period of *Ineligibility* shall be from eight (8) years to life ban.

#### **10.7.4** Additional Rules for Certain Potential Multiple Violations

• For purposes of imposing sanctions under Article 10.7, an antidoping rule violation will only be considered a second violation if the WCF (or its *Member Association*) can establish that the *Athlete* or other *Person* committed the second anti-doping rule violation after the *Athlete* or other *Person* received notice pursuant to Article 7 (Results Management), or after WCF (or its *Member Association*) made reasonable efforts to give notice, of the first anti-doping rule violation; if the WCF (or its *Member Association*) cannot establish this, the violations shall be considered together as one single first violation, and the sanction imposed shall be based on the violation that carries the more severe sanction; however, the occurrence of multiple violations may be considered as a factor in determining Aggravating Circumstances (Article 10.6).

• If, after the resolution of a first anti-doping rule violation, WCF discovers facts involving an anti-doping rule violation by the *Athlete* or other *Person* which occurred prior to notification regarding the first violation, then WCF shall impose an additional sanction based on the sanction that could have been imposed if the two violations would have been adjudicated at the same time. Results in all *Competitions* dating back to the earlier anti-doping rule violation will be *Disqualified* as provided in Article 10.8. To avoid the possibility of a finding of Aggravating Circumstances (Article 10.6) on account of the earlier-intime but later-discovered violation, the *Athlete* or other *Person* must voluntarily admit the earlier anti-doping rule violation on a timely basis after notice of the violation for which he or she is first charged. The same rule shall also apply when WCF discovers facts involving another prior violation after the resolution of a second anti-doping rule violation.

## 10.7.5 Multiple Anti-Doping Rule Violations during an Eight-Year Period

For purposes of Article 10.7, each anti-doping rule violation must take place within the same eight (8) year period in order to be considered multiple violations.

# 10.8 *Disqualification* of Results in *Competitions* Subsequent to *Sample* Collection or Commission of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation

In addition to the automatic *Disqualification* of the results in the *Competition* which produced the positive *Sample* under Article 9 (Automatic *Disqualification* of Individual Results), all other competitive results obtained from the date a positive *Sample* was collected (whether *In-Competition* or *Out-of-Competition*), or other anti-doping rule violation occurred, through the commencement of any *Provisional Suspension* or *Ineligibility* period, shall, unless fairness requires otherwise, be *Disqualified* with all of the resulting consequences including forfeiture of any medals, points and prizes.

**10.8.1** As a condition of regaining eligibility after being found to have committed an anti-doping rule violation, the *Athlete* must first repay all prize money forfeited under this Article.

# **10.8.2** Allocation of Forfeited Prize Money.

Forfeited prize money shall be allocated first to reimburse the collection expenses incurred by the *Anti-Doping Organization* in order to perform the necessary steps to collect the prize money back, then to reimburse the expenses incurred by the *Anti-Doping Organization* in order to conduct results management in the case, with the balance, if any, allocated in accordance with WCF's specific rules.

## 10.9 Commencement of Ineligibility Period

Except as provided below, the period of *Ineligibility* shall start on the date of the hearing decision providing for *Ineligibility* or, if the hearing is waived, on the date *Ineligibility* is accepted or otherwise imposed.

#### **10.9.1** Delays Not Attributable to the *Athlete* or other *Person*

Where there have been substantial delays in the hearing process or other aspects of *Doping Control* not attributable to the *Athlete* or other *Person*, the *WCF* or *Anti-Doping Organization* imposing the sanction may start the period of *Ineligibility* at an earlier date commencing as early as the date of *Sample* collection or the date on which another anti-doping rule violation last occurred.

#### 10.9.2 Timely Admission

Where the *Athlete* promptly (which, in all events, means before the *Athlete* competes again) admits the anti-doping rule violation after being confronted with the anti-doping rule violation by WCF, the period of *Ineligibility* may start as early as the date of *Sample* collection or the date on which another anti-doping rule violation last occurred. In each case, however, where this Article is applied, the *Athlete* or other *Person* shall serve at least one-half of the period of *Ineligibility* going forward from the date the *Athlete* or other *Person* accepted the imposition of a sanction, the date of a hearing decision imposing a sanction, or the date the sanction is otherwise imposed.

[Comment to Article 10.9.2: This Article shall not apply where the period of Ineligibility already has been reduced under Article 10.5.4 (Admission of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation in the Absence of Other Evidence).]

- **10.9.3** If a *Provisional Suspension* is imposed and respected by the *Athlete*, then the *Athlete* shall receive a credit for such period of *Provisional Suspension* against any period of *Ineligibility* which may ultimately be imposed.
- **10.9.4** If an *Athlete* voluntarily accepts a *Provisional Suspension* in writing from WCF and thereafter refrains from competing, the *Athlete* shall receive a credit for such period of voluntary *Provisional Suspension* against any period of *Ineligibility* which may ultimately be imposed. A copy of the *Athlete's* voluntary acceptance of a *Provisional Suspension* shall be provided promptly to each party entitled to receive notice of a potential anti-doping rule violation under Article 14.1.

[Comment to Article 10.9.4: An Athlete's voluntary acceptance of a Provisional Suspension is not an admission by the Athlete and shall not be used in any way as to draw an adverse inference against the Athlete.]

**10.9.5** No credit against a period of *Ineligibility* shall be given for any time period before the effective date of the *Provisional Suspension* or voluntary *Provisional Suspension* regardless of whether the *Athlete* elected not to compete or was suspended by his or her team.

[Comment to Article 10.9: The text of Article 10.9 has been revised to make clear that delays not attributable to the Athlete, timely admission by the Athlete and Provisional Suspension are the only justifications for starting the period of Ineligibility earlier than the date of the hearing decision. This amendment corrects inconsistent interpretation and application of the previous text.]

# **10.10 Status During Ineligibility**

#### **10.10.1** Prohibition against Participation during *Ineligibility*

No Athlete or other Person who has been declared Ineligible may, during the period of Ineligibility, participate in any capacity in an Event or activity (other than authorized anti-doping education or rehabilitation programs) authorized or organized by WCF or any Member Association or a club or other member organization of WCF or any Member Association, or in Competitions authorized or organized by any professional league or any international or national level Event organization.

An *Athlete* or other *Person* subject to a period of *Ineligibility* longer than four years may, after completing four years of the period of *Ineligibility*, participate in local sport events in a sport other than sports subject to the jurisdictions of WCF and its *Member Associations*, but only so long as the local sport event is not at a level that could otherwise qualify such *Person* directly or indirectly to compete in (or accumulate points toward) a national championship or *International Event*.

An *Athlete* or other *Person* subject to a period of *Ineligibility* shall remain subject to *Testing*.

[Comment to Article 10.10.1: For example, an ineligible Athlete cannot participate in a training camp, exhibition or practice organized by his or her Member Association or a club which is a member of that Member Association. Further, an ineligible Athlete may not compete in a non-Signatory professional league (e.g., the National Hockey League, the National Basketball Association, etc.), Events organized by a non-Signatory International Event organization or a non-Signatory national-level event organization without triggering the consequences set forth in Article 10.10.2. Sanctions in one sport will also be recognized by other sports (see Article 15).]

#### **10.10.2** Violation of the Prohibition of Participation during *Ineligibility*

Where an *Athlete* or other *Person* who has been declared *Ineligible* violates the prohibition against participation during *Ineligibility* described in Article 10.10.1, the results of such participation shall be *Disqualified* and the period of *Ineligibility* which was originally imposed shall start over again as of the date of the violation. The new period of *Ineligibility* may be reduced under Article 10.5.2 if the *Athlete* or other *Person* establishes he or she bears *No Significant Fault or Negligence* for violating the prohibition against participation. The determination of whether an *Athlete* or other *Person* has violated the prohibition against participation, and whether a reduction under Article 10.5.2 is appropriate, shall be made by WCF.

[Comment to Article 10.10.2: If an Athlete or other Person is alleged to have violated the prohibition against participation during a period of Ineligibility, WCF shall determine whether the Athlete violated the prohibition and, if so, whether the Athlete or other Person has established grounds for a reduction in the restarted period of Ineligibility under Article 10.5.2. Decisions rendered by WCF under this Article may be appealed pursuant to Article 13.2.

Where an Athlete Support Personnel or other Person substantially assists an Athlete in violating the prohibition against participation during Ineligibility, WCF may appropriately impose sanctions under its own disciplinary rules for such assistance.]

#### **10.10.3** Withholding of Financial Support during *Ineligibility*

In addition, for any anti-doping rule violation not involving a reduced sanction for *Specified Substances* as described in Article 10.4, some or all sport-related financial support or other sport-related benefits received by such *Person* will be withheld by WCF and its *Member Associations*.

#### **10.11 Reinstatement** *Testing*

As a condition to regaining eligibility at the end of a specified period of *Ineligibility*, an *Athlete* must, during any period of *Provisional Suspension* or *Ineligibility*, make him or herself available for *Out-of-Competition Testing* by WCF, the applicable *Member Association*, and any other *Anti-Doping Organization* having *Testing* jurisdiction, and must comply with the whereabouts requirements of Article 11 of the *International Standard* for *Testing*. If an *Athlete* subject to a period of *Ineligibility* retires from sport and is removed from *Out-of-Competition Testing* pools and later seeks reinstatement, the *Athlete* shall not be eligible for reinstatement until the *Athlete* has notified WCF and the applicable *Member Association* and has been subject to *Out-of-Competition Testing* for a period of time equal to the longer of (a) the period set forth in Article 5.6 and (b) period of *Ineligibility* remaining as of the date the *Athlete* had retired. During such remaining period of *Ineligibility*, a minimum of 2 tests must be conducted on the *Athlete* with at

least three months between each test. The *Member Association* shall be responsible for conducting the necessary tests, but tests by any *Anti-Doping Organization* may be used to satisfy the requirement. The results of such tests shall be reported to WCF. In addition, immediately prior to the end of the period of *Ineligibility*, an *Athlete* must undergo *Testing* by WCF for the *Prohibited Substances* and *Methods* that are prohibited in *Out-of-Competition Testing*. Once the period of an *Athlete's Ineligibility* has expired, and the *Athlete* has fulfilled the conditions of reinstatement, then the *Athlete* will become automatically re-eligible and no application by the *Athlete* or by the *Athlete's Member Association* will then be necessary.

## **10. 12** Imposition of Financial Sanctions

Anti-Doping Organizations may, in their own rules, provide for financial sanctions on account of anti-doping rule violations. However, no financial sanction may be considered a basis for reducing the period of *Ineligibility* or other sanction which would otherwise be applicable under the *Code*.

## ARTICLE 11 CONSEQUENCES TO TEAMS

**11.1** Where one or more members of a team in a *Team Sport* have been notified of a possible Anti-Doping Rule violation under Article 7 in connection with an *Event*, the Team ruling body for the Event shall conduct appropriate *Target Testing* of the team during the *Event* Period. If one or more members of a team in a *Team Sport* are found to have committed an Anti-Doping Rule violation during an *Event* period, the ruling body of the *Event* shall impose an appropriate sanction on the team (e.g., loss of points, *Disqualification* from a *Competition* or *Event*, or other sanction) in addition to any *Consequences* imposed upon the individual *Athlete(s)* committing the Anti-Doping Rule violation.

# ARTICLE 12 SANCTIONS AND COSTS ASSESSED AGAINST MEMBER ASSOCIATIONS

- **12.1** The WCF has the authority to withhold some or all funding or other non financial support to *Member Associations* that are not in compliance with these Anti-Doping Rules.
- **12.2** *Member Associations* shall be obligated to reimburse WCF for all costs (including but not limited to laboratory fees, hearing expenses and travel) related to a violation of these Anti-Doping Rules committed by an *Athlete* or other *Person* affiliated with that *Member Association*.
- **12.3** WCF may elect to take additional disciplinary action against Member Associations with respect to recognition, the eligibility of its officials and athletes to participate in International Events and fines based on the following:

- **12.3.1** Four or more violations of these Anti-Doping Rules (other than violations involving Articles 2.4 and 10.3) are committed by *Athletes* or other *Persons* affiliated with a *Member Association* within a 12-month period in testing conducted by WCF or Anti-Doping Organizations other than the *Member Association* or its *National Anti-Doping Organization*. [In such event the WCF may in its discretion elect to: (a) ban that *Member Association* from participation in any WCF event for a period of up to two years and/or (b) fine the *Member Association* in an amount up to \$5,000 U.S. Dollars. (For purposes of this Rule, any fine paid pursuant to Rule 12.3.2 shall be credited against any fine assessed.)
  - **12.3.1.1** If four or more violations of these Anti-Doping Rules (other than violations involving Articles 2.4 and 10.3) are committed in addition to the violations described in Article 12.3.1 by *Athletes* or other *Persons* affiliated with a *Member Association* within a 12-month period in testing conducted by WCF or Anti-Doping Organizations other than the *Member Association* or its *National Anti-Doping Organization,* then the WCF may suspend that *Member Association's* membership for a period of up to 4 years.
- **12.3.2** More than one *Athlete* or other *Person* from a *Member Association* commits an *Anti-Doping Rule* violation during an *International Event.* In such event WCF may fine that *Member Association* in an amount up to \$1,000 U.S. Dollars.
- **12.3.3** A *Member Association* has failed to make diligent efforts to keep WCF informed about an *Athlete's* whereabouts after receiving a request for that information from WCF. In such event WCF may fine the Member Association in an amount up to \$1,000 U.S. Dollars per *Athlete* in addition to all of WCF costs incurred in *Testing* that *Member Association's Athletes*.

#### ARTICLE 13 APPEALS

#### 13.1 Decisions Subject to Appeal

Decisions made under these Anti-Doping Rules may be appealed as set forth below in Article 13.2 through 13.4 or as otherwise provided in these Anti-Doping Rules. Such decisions shall remain in effect while under appeal unless the appellate body orders otherwise. Before an appeal is commenced, any post-decision review authorized in these rules must be exhausted (except as provided in Article 13.1.1).

### **13.1.1** *WADA* Not Required to Exhaust Internal Remedies

Where WADA has a right to appeal under Article 13 and no other party has appealed a final decision within the WCF or its Member Association's process, WADA may appeal such decision directly to CAS without having

to exhaust other remedies in the WCF or its *Member Association*'s process.

[Comment to Article 13.1.1: Where a decision has been rendered before the final stage of WCF's process (for example, a first hearing) and no party elects to appeal that decision to the next level of WCF's process (e.g., the Managing Board), then WADA may bypass the remaining steps in WCF's internal process and appeal directly to CAS.]

# 13.2 Appeals from Decisions Regarding *Anti-Doping* Rule Violations, Consequences, and *Provisional Suspensions*

A decision that an anti-doping rule violation was committed, a decision imposing Consequences for an anti-doping rule violation, or a decision that no anti-doping rule violation was committed; a decision that an anti-doping rule violation proceeding cannot go forward for procedural reasons (including, for example, prescription); a decision under Article 10.10.2 (prohibition of participation during *Ineligibility*); a decision that the WCF or its *Member* Association lacks jurisdiction to rule on an alleged anti-doping rule violation or its Consequences; a decision by any Member Association not to bring forward an Adverse Analytical Finding or an Atypical Finding as an anti-doping rule violation, or a decision not to go forward with an anti-doping rule violation after an investigation under Article 7.4; [and a decision to impose a Provisional Suspension as a result of a Provisional Hearing or otherwise in violation of Article 7.4] may be appealed exclusively as provided in this Article 13.2. Notwithstanding any other provision herein, the only *Person* that may appeal from a Provisional Suspension is the Athlete or other Person upon whom the Provisional Suspension is imposed.

#### **13.2.1** Appeals Involving *International-Level Athletes*

In cases arising from competition in an *International Event* or in cases involving *International-Level Athletes*, the decision may be appealed exclusively to CAS in accordance with the provisions applicable before such court.

[Comment to Article 13.2.1: CAS decisions are final and binding except for any review required by law applicable to the annulment or enforcement of arbitral awards.]

# **13.2.2** Appeals Involving National-Level *Athletes*

In cases involving *Athletes* who do not have a right to appeal under Article 13.2.1, each *Member Association* shall have in place an appeal procedure that respects the following principles: a timely hearing, a fair and impartial hearing panel; the right to be represented by a counsel at the person's expense; and a timely, written, reasoned decision. WCF's rights of appeal with respect to these cases are set forth in Article 13.2.3 below.

[Comment to Article 13.2.2: WCF may elect to comply with this Article by giving its national-level Athletes the right to appeal directly to CAS.]

## **13.2.3** *Persons* Entitled to Appeal

In cases under Article 13.2.1, the following parties shall have the right to appeal to CAS: (a) the Athlete or other Person who is the subject of the decision being appealed; (b) the other party to the case in which the decision was rendered; (c) WCF and any other Anti-Doping Organization under whose rules a sanction could have been imposed; (d) the International Olympic Committee or International Paralympic Committee, as applicable, where the decision may have an effect in relation to the Olympic Games or Paralympic Games, including decisions affecting eligibility for the Olympic Games or Paralympic Games; and (e) WADA. In cases under Article 13.2.2, the parties having the right to appeal to the national-level reviewing body shall be as provided in the Member Association's rules but, at a minimum, shall include the following parties: (a) the Athlete or other Person who is the subject of the decision being appealed; (b) the other party to the case in which the decision was rendered; (c) WCF; and (d) WADA. For cases under Article 13.2.2, WADA and WCF shall also have the right to appeal to CAS with respect to the decision of the national-level reviewing body.

# 13.3 Failure to Render a Timely Decision by WCF and its *Member Associations*

Where, in a particular case, WCF or its *Member Associations* fail to render a decision with respect to whether an anti-doping rule violation was committed within a reasonable deadline set by *WADA*, *WADA* may elect to appeal directly to *CAS* as if WCF or its *Member Associations* had rendered a decision finding no anti-doping rule violation. If the *CAS* panel determines that an anti-doping rule violation was committed and that *WADA* acted reasonably in electing to appeal directly to *CAS*, then *WADA*'s costs and attorneys fees in prosecuting the appeal shall be reimbursed to *WADA* by WCF or its *Member Associations*.

# **13.4** Appeals from Decisions Granting or Denying a Therapeutic Use Exemption

Decisions by *WADA* reversing the grant or denial of a TUE may be appealed exclusively to CAS by the *Athlete*, WCF, or *National Anti-Doping Organization* or other body designated by a *Member Association* which granted or denied the exemption. Decisions to deny TUE's, and which are not reversed by *WADA*, may be appealed by *International-Level Athletes* to CAS and by other *Athletes* to the national level reviewing body described in Article 13.2.2. If the national level reviewing body reverses the decision to deny a TUE, that decision may be appealed to CAS by *WADA*.

When WCF, *National Anti-Doping Organizations* or other bodies designated by *Member Associations* fail to take action on a properly submitted TUE application within a reasonable time, their failure to decide may be considered a denial for purposes of the appeal rights provided in this Article.

# 13.5 Appeal from Decisions Pursuant to Article 12

Decisions by WCF pursuant to Article 12 may be appealed exclusively to CAS by the *Member Association*.

# 13.6 Time for Filing Appeals

The time to file an appeal to CAS shall be twenty-one (21) days from the date of receipt of the decision by the appealing party. The above notwithstanding, the following shall apply in connection with appeals filed by a party entitled to appeal but which was not a party to the proceedings having lead to the decision subject to appeal:

- a) Within ten (10) days from notice of the decision, such party/ies shall have the right to request from the body having issued the decision a copy of the file on which such body relied;
- b) If such a request is made within the ten-day period, then the party making such request shall have twenty-one (21) days from receipt of the file to file an appeal to CAS.

The above notwithstanding, the filing deadline for an appeal or intervention filed by *WADA* shall be the later of:

- (a) Twenty-one (21) days after the last day on which any other party in the case could have appealed, or
- (b) Twenty-one (21) days after WADA's receipt of the complete file relating to the decision.

# ARTICLE 14 MEMBER ASSOCIATIONS' INCORPORATION OF WCF RULES, REPORTING AND RECOGNITION

# 14.1 Incorporation of WCF Anti-Doping Rules

All Member Associations shall comply with these Anti-Doping Rules. These Anti-Doping Rules shall also be incorporated either directly or by reference into each Member Associations Rules. All Member Associations shall include in their regulations the procedural rules necessary to effectively implement these Anti-Doping Rules. Each Member Association shall obtain the written acknowledgement and agreement, in the form attached as Appendix 2, of all Athletes subject to Doping Control and Athlete Support Personnel for such Athletes. Notwithstanding whether or not the required form has been signed, the Rules of each Member Association shall specifically provide that all Athletes,

Athlete Support Personnel and other Persons under the jurisdiction of the Member Association shall be bound by these Anti-Doping Rules.

### 14.2 Statistical Reporting

**14.2.1** *Member Associations* shall report to WCF at the end of every year results of all *Doping Controls* within their jurisdiction sorted by *Athlete* and identifying each date on which the *Athlete* was tested, the entity conducting the test, and whether the test was *In-Competition* or *Out-of-Competition*. WCF may periodically publish *Testing* data received from *Member Associations* as well as comparable data from *Testing* under WCF's jurisdiction.

**14.2.2** WCF shall publish annually a general statistical report of its Doping Control activities during the calendar year with a copy provided to WADA.

## 14.3 Doping Control Information Clearinghouse

When a Member Association has received an Adverse Analytical Finding on one of its Athletes it shall report the following information to WCF and WADA within fourteen (14) days of the process described in Article 7.1.2 and 7.1.3: the Athlete's name, country, sport and discipline within the sport, whether the test was In-Competition or Out-of-Competition, the date of Sample collection and the analytical result reported by the laboratory. The Member Association shall also regularly update WCF and WADA on the status and findings of any review or proceedings conducted pursuant to Article 7 (Results Management), Article 8 (Right to a Fair Hearing) or Article 13 (Appeals), and comparable information shall be provided to WCF and WADA within 14 days of the notification described in Article 7.1.9, with respect to other violations of these Anti-Doping Rules. In any case in which the period of *Ineligibility* is eliminated under Article 10.5.1 (No Fault or Negligence) or reduced under Article 10.5.2 (No Significant Fault or Negligence), WCF and WADA shall be provided with a written reasoned decision explaining the basis for the elimination or reduction. Neither WCF nor WADA shall disclose this information beyond those persons within their organisations with a need to know until the Member Association has made public disclosure or has failed to make public disclosure as required in Article 14.4 below.

#### 14.4 Public Disclosure

**14.4.1** Neither WCF nor its *Member Association* shall publicly identify *Athletes* whose *Samples* have resulted in *Adverse Analytical Findings*, or who were alleged to have violated other Articles of these Anti-Doping Rules until it has been determined in a hearing in accordance with Article 8 that an anti-doping rule violation has occurred, or such hearing has been waived, or the assertion of an anti-doping rule violation has not been timely challenged or the *Athlete* has been *Provisionally Suspended*.

Once a violation of these Anti-Doping Rules has been established, it shall be publicly reported within 20 days. WCF or its *Member Association* must also report within 20 days appeal decisions on an anti-doping rule violation. WCF or its *Member Association* shall also, within the time period for publication, send all hearing and appeal decisions to *WADA*.

**14.4.2** In any case where it is determined, after a hearing or appeal, that the *Athlete* or other *Person* did not commit an anti-doping rule violation, the decision may be disclosed publicly only with the consent of the *Athlete* or other *Person* who is the subject of the decision. WCF or its *Member Association* shall use reasonable efforts to obtain such consent, and if consent is obtained, shall publicly disclose the decision in its entirety or in such redacted form as the *Athlete* or other *Person* may approve.

**14.4.3** Neither WCF nor its *Member Association* or *WADA* accredited laboratory, or official of either, shall publicly comment on the specific facts of a pending case (as opposed to general description of process and science) except in response to public comments attributed to the *Athlete*, other *Person* or their representatives.

# 14.5 Recognition of Decisions by WCF and *Member Associations*

Any decision of WCF or a *Member Association* regarding a violation of these Anti-Doping Rules shall be recognized by all *Member Associations*, which shall take all necessary action to render such results effective.

# ARTICLE 15 RECOGNITION OF DECISIONS BY OTHER ORGANISATIONS

Subject to the right to appeal provided in Article 13, the *Testing*, TUE's and hearing results or other final adjudications of any *Signatory* to the *Code* which are consistent with the *Code* and are within the *Signatory's* authority, shall be recognized and respected by WCF and its *Member Associations*. WCF and its *Member Associations* may recognize the same actions of other bodies which have not accepted the *Code* if the rules of those bodies are otherwise consistent with the *Code*.

#### ARTICLE 16 STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

No action may be commenced under these Anti-Doping Rules against an *Athlete* or other *Person* for a violation of an anti-doping rule contained in these Anti-Doping Rules unless such action is commenced within eight years from the date the violation occurred.

#### ARTICLE 17 WCF COMPLIANCE REPORTS TO WADA

The WCF will report to WADA on the WCF's compliance with the Code every second year and shall explain reasons for any noncompliance.

# ARTICLE 18 AMENDMENT AND INTERPRETATION OF ANTI-DOPING RULES

- **18.1** These Anti-Doping Rules may be amended from time to time by the *WCF* Executive.
- **18.2** Except as provided in Article 18.5, these Anti-Doping Rules shall be interpreted as an independent and autonomous text and not by reference to existing law or statutes.
- **18.3** The headings used for the various Parts and Articles of these Anti-Doping Rules are for convenience only and shall not be deemed part of the substance of these Anti-Doping Rules or to affect in any way the language of the provisions to which they refer.
- **18.4** The INTRODUCTION and the APPENDIX I DEFINITIONS shall be considered integral parts of these Anti-Doping Rules.
- **18.5** These Anti-Doping Rules have been adopted pursuant to the applicable provisions of the *Code* and shall be interpreted in a manner that is consistent with applicable provisions of the *Code*. The comments annotating various provisions of the *Code* may, where applicable, assist in the understanding and interpretation of these Anti-Doping Rules.
- **18.6** Notice to an *Athlete* or other *Person* who is a member of a *Member Association* may be accomplished by delivery of the notice to the *Member Association*.
- **18.7** These Anti-Doping Rules shall come into full force and effect on 1 January 2009 (the "**Effective Date**"). They shall not apply retrospectively to matters pending before the Effective Date; provided, however, that:
  - **18.7.1** Any case pending prior to the Effective Date, or brought after the Effective Date based on an anti-doping rule violation that occurred prior to the Effective Date, shall be governed by the predecessor to these Anti-Doping Rules in force at the time of the anti-doping rule violation, subject to any application of the principle of lex mitior by the hearing panel determining the case.
  - **18.7.2** Any Article 2.4 whereabouts violation (whether a filing failure or a missed test) declared by WCF under rules in force prior to the Effective Date that has not expired prior to the Effective Date and that would qualify as a whereabouts violation under Article 11 of the *International Standard* for *Testing* shall be carried forward and may be relied upon, prior to expiry, as one of the three Filing Failures and/or

Missed Tests giving rise to an anti-doping rule violation under Article 2.4 of these Anti-Doping Rules. *Note: where existing whereabouts violations are carried over to the new regime, any restrictions under the old rules on combining those whereabouts violations with other whereabouts violations must also be carried over. Hence:* Unless otherwise stated by WCF, however:

- a. a filing failure that is carried forward in this manner may only be combined with (post-Effective Date) Filing Failures;
- b. a missed test that is carried forward in this manner may only be combined with (post-Effective Date) Missed Tests; and
- c. a filing failure or missed test declared by any Anti-Doping Organization other than [WCF and a Member Association] prior to the Effective Date may not be combined with any Filing Failure or Missed Test declared under these Anti-Doping Rules.
- **18.7.3** Where a period of *Ineligibility* imposed by WCF under rules in force prior to the Effective Date has not yet expired as of the Effective Date, the *Person* who is *Ineligible* may apply to WCF for a reduction in the period of *Ineligibility* in light o the amendments made to the *Code* as from the Effective Date. To be valid, such application must be made before the period of *Ineligibility* has expired.
- **18.7.4** Subject always to Article 10.7.5, anti-doping rule violations committed under rules in force prior to the Effective Date shall be taken into account as prior offences for purposes of determining sanctions under Article 10.7. Where such pre-Effective Date anti-doping rule violation involved a substance that would be treated as a Specified Substance under these Anti-Doping Rules, for which a period of *Ineligibility* of less than two years was imposed, such violation shall be considered a Reduced Sanction violation for purposes of Article 10.7.1.

#### **APPENDIX 1 - DEFINITIONS**

<u>Adverse Analytical Finding.</u> A report from a laboratory or other approved <u>Testing</u> entity that identifies in a <u>Sample</u> the presence of a <u>Prohibited Substance</u> or its <u>Metabolites</u> or <u>Markers</u> (including elevated quantities of endogenous substances) or evidence of the <u>Use</u> of a <u>Prohibited Method</u>.

<u>Anti-Doping Organization</u>. A <u>Signatory</u> that is responsible for adopting rules for initiating, implementing or enforcing any part of the <u>Doping Control</u> process. This includes, for example, the International Olympic Committee, the International Paralympic Committee, other <u>Major Event Organizations</u> that conduct <u>Testing</u> at their <u>Events</u>, <u>WADA</u>, InterMember Associations, and <u>National Anti-Doping Organizations</u>.

Athlete. Any Person who participates in sport at the international level (as defined by each InterMember Association), the national level (as defined by each National Anti-Doping Organization, including but not limited to those Persons in its Registered Testing Pool), and any other competitor in sport who is otherwise subject to the jurisdiction of any Signatory or other sports organization accepting the Code. All provisions of the Code, including, for example, Testing, and TUE's must be applied to international and national-level competitors. Some National Anti-Doping Organizations may elect to test and apply anti-doping rules to recreational-level or masters competitors who are not current or potential national caliber competitors. National Anti-Doping Organizations are not required, however, to apply all aspects of the Code to such Persons. Specific national rules may be established for Doping Control for non-international-level or national-level competitors without being in conflict with the Code. Thus, a country could elect to test recreational-level competitors but not require TUE's or whereabouts information. In the same manner, a Major Event Organization holding an Event only for masters-level competitors could elect to test the competitors but not require advance TUE or whereabouts information. For purposes of Article (Administration or Attempted Administration) and for purposes of anti-doping information and education, any *Person* who participates in sport under the authority of any Signatory, government, or other sports organization accepting the Code is an Athlete.

[Comment to Athlete: This definition makes it clear that all international and national-caliber athletes are subject to the anti-doping rules of the Code, with the precise definitions of international and national level sport to be set forth in the anti-doping rules of the WCFs and National Anti-Doping Organizations, respectively. At the national level, anti-doping rules adopted pursuant to the Code shall apply, at a minimum, to all persons on national teams and all persons qualified to compete in any national championship in any sport. That does not mean, however, that all such Athletes must be included in a National Anti-Doping Organization's Registered Testing Pool. The definition also allows each National Anti-Doping Organization, if it chooses to do so, to expand its anti-doping control program beyond national-caliber athletes to competitors at lower levels of competition. Competitors at all levels of competition should receive the benefit of anti-doping information and education.]

<u>Athlete Support Personnel</u>. Any coach, trainer, manager, agent, team staff, official, medical, paramedical personnel, parent or any other *Person* working with, treating or assisting an *Athlete* participating in or preparing for sports *Competition*.

<u>Attempt</u>. Purposely engaging in conduct that constitutes a substantial step in a course of conduct planned to culminate in the commission of an anti-doping rule violation. Provided, however, there shall be no anti-doping rule violation based solely on an <u>Attempt</u> to commit a violation if the <u>Person</u> renunciates the attempt prior to it being discovered by a third party not involved in the <u>Attempt</u>.

<u>Atypical Finding</u>. A report from a laboratory or other *WADA*-approved entity which requires further investigation as provided by the *International Standard* for Laboratories or related Technical Documents prior to the determination of an *Adverse Analytical Finding*.

CAS. The Court of Arbitration for Sport.

<u>Code</u>. The World Anti-Doping Code.

<u>Competition</u>. A single race, match, game or singular athletic contest. For example, a basketball game or the finals of the Olympic 100-meter dash in athletics. For stage races and other athletic contests where prizes are awarded on a daily or other interim basis the distinction between a <u>Competition</u> and an <u>Event</u> will be as provided in the rules of the applicable InterMember Association.

<u>Consequences of anti-doping rule violations</u>. An Athlete's or other Person's violation of an anti-doping rule may result in one or more of the following: (a) <u>Disqualification</u> means the Athlete's results in a particular Competition or Event are invalidated, with all resulting consequences including forfeiture of any medals, points and prizes; (b) <u>Ineligibility</u> means the Athlete or other Person is barred for a specified period of time from participating in any Competition or other activity or funding as provided in Article 10.9; and (c) <u>Provisional Suspension</u> means the Athlete or other Person is barred temporarily from participating in any Competition prior to the final decision at a hearing conducted under Article 8 (Right to a Fair Hearing).

Disqualification. See Consequences of anti-doping rule violations, above.

<u>Doping Control</u>. All steps and processes from test distribution planning through to ultimate disposition of any appeal including all steps and processes in between such as provision of whereabouts information, sample collection and handling, laboratory analysis, TUE's, results management and hearings.

<u>Event</u>. A series of individual <u>Competitions</u> conducted together under one ruling body (e.g., the Olympic Games, FINA World Championships, or Pan American Games).

<u>Event Period.</u> The time between the beginning and end of an <u>Event</u>, as established by the ruling body of the <u>Event</u>.

<u>In-Competition</u>. Unless provided otherwise in the rules of a Member Association or other relevant <u>Anti-Doping Organization</u>, "<u>In-Competition</u>" means the period commencing on the day of the official competition practice sessions in which the Athlete is scheduled to participate, and ends at the conclusion of the closing ceremony and the sample collection process related to such competition.

<u>Independent Observer Program</u>. A team of observers, under the supervision of *WADA*, who observe and may provide guidance on the *Doping Control* process at certain *Events* and report on their observations.

Individual Sport. Any sport that is not a Team Sport.

Ineligibility. See Consequences of Anti-Doping Rule Violations above.

<u>International Event</u>. An <u>Event</u> where the International Olympic Committee, the International Paralympic Committee, an InterMember Association, a <u>Major Event</u> Organization, or another international sport organization is the ruling body for the <u>Event</u> or appoints the technical officials for the <u>Event</u>.

<u>International-Level Athlete</u>. Athletes designated by one or more InterMember Associations as being within the <u>Registered Testing Pool</u> for an InterMember Association.

<u>International Standard</u>. A standard adopted by *WADA* in support of the <u>Code</u>. Compliance with an <u>International Standard</u> (as opposed to another alternative standard, practice or procedure) shall be sufficient to conclude that the procedures addressed by the <u>International Standard</u> were performed properly. <u>International Standards</u> shall include any Technical Documents issued pursuant to the <u>International Standard</u>.

<u>Major Event Organizations</u>. The continental associations of <u>National Olympic Committees</u> and other international multi-sport organizations that function as the ruling body for any continental, regional or other <u>International Event</u>.

<u>Marker</u>. A compound, group of compounds or biological parameter(s) that indicates the *Use* of a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method*.

<u>Metabolite</u>. Any substance produced by a biotransformation process.

<u>Minor</u>. A natural *Person* who has not reached the age of majority as established by the applicable laws of his or her country of residence.

<u>National Anti-Doping Organization</u>. The entity(ies) designated by each country as possessing the primary authority and responsibility to adopt and implement anti-doping rules, direct the collection of <u>Samples</u>, the management of test results, and

the conduct of hearings, all at the national level. This includes an entity which may be designated by multiple countries to serve as regional *Anti-Doping Organization* for such countries. If this designation has not been made by the competent public authority(ies), the entity shall be the country's *National Olympic Committee* or its designee.

<u>National Event</u>. A sport <u>Event</u> involving international or national-level <u>Athletes</u> that is not an <u>International Event</u>.

<u>Member Association</u>. A national or regional entity which is a member of or is recognized by WCF as the entity governing the WCF's sport in that nation or region.

<u>National Olympic Committee</u>. The organization recognized by the International Olympic Committee. The term *National Olympic Committee* shall also include the National Sport Confederation in those countries where the National Sport Confederation assumes typical *National Olympic Committee* responsibilities in the anti-doping area.

<u>No Advance Notice</u>. A <u>Doping Control</u> which takes place with no advance warning to the <u>Athlete</u> and where the <u>Athlete</u> is continuously chaperoned from the moment of notification through <u>Sample</u> provision.

<u>No Fault or Negligence</u>. The Athlete's establishing that he or she did not know or suspect, and could not reasonably have known or suspected even with the exercise of utmost caution, that he or she had *Used* or been administered the *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method*.

<u>No Significant Fault or Negligence</u>. The <u>Athlete's</u> establishing that his or her fault or negligence, when viewed in the totality of the circumstances and taking into account the criteria for *No Fault or Negligence*, was not significant in relationship to the anti-doping rule violation.

<u>Out-of-Competition</u>. Any <u>Doping Control</u> which is not <u>In-Competition</u>.

<u>Participant</u>. Any Athlete or Athlete Support Personnel.

<u>Person</u>. A natural <u>Person</u> or an organization or other entity.

<u>Possession</u>. The actual, physical possession, or the constructive possession (which shall be found only if the person has exclusive control over the Prohibited Substance/Method or the premises in which a Prohibited Substance/Method exists); provided, however, that if the person does not have exclusive control over the Prohibited Substance/Method or premises in which Prohibited the a Substance/Method exists, constructive possession shall only be found if the person knew about the presence of the Prohibited Substance/Method and intended to exercise control over it. Provided, however, there shall be no anti-doping rule violation based solely on possession if, prior to receiving notification of any kind that the Person has committed an anti-doping rule violation, the Person has taken

concrete action demonstrating that the *Person* never intended to have possession and has renounced possession by explicitly declaring it to an *Anti-Doping Organization*. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this definition, the purchase (including by any electronic or other means) of a *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method* constitutes possession by the *Person* who makes the purchase.

[Comment: Under this definition, steroids found in an Athlete's car would constitute a violation unless the Athlete establishes that someone else used the car; in that event, the Anti-Doping Organization must establish that, even though the Athlete did not have exclusive control over the car, the Athlete knew about the steroids and intended to have control over the steroids. Similarly, in the example of steroids found in a home medicine cabinet under the joint control of an Athlete and spouse, the Anti-Doping Organization must establish that the Athlete knew the steroids were in the cabinet and that the Athlete intended to exercise control over the steroids.]

<u>Prohibited List</u>. The List identifying the <u>Prohibited Substances</u> and <u>Prohibited Methods</u>.

<u>Prohibited Method</u>. Any method so described on the <u>Prohibited List</u>.

<u>Prohibited Substance</u>. Any substance so described on the <u>Prohibited List</u>.

<u>Provisional Hearing</u>. For purposes of Article 7.6, an expedited abbreviated hearing occurring prior to a hearing under Article 8 (Right to a Fair Hearing) that provides the *Athlete* with notice and an opportunity to be heard in either written or oral form.

Provisional Suspension. See Consequences above.

<u>Publicly Disclose or Publicly Report</u>. To disseminate or distribute information to the general public or persons beyond those persons entitled to earlier notification in accordance with Article 14.

<u>Registered Testing Pool</u>. The pool of top level <u>Athletes</u> established separately by each InterMember Association and <u>National Anti-Doping Organization</u> who are subject to both <u>In-Competition</u> and <u>Out-of-Competition Testing</u> as part of that InterMember Association's or National Anti-Doping Organization's test distribution plan.

<u>Retroactive TUE.</u> As defined in the <u>International Standard</u> for Therapeutic Use Exemptions.

Sample. Any biological material collected for the purposes of *Doping Control*.

[Comment to Sample: It has sometimes been claimed that the collection of blood samples violates the tenets of certain religious or cultural groups. It has been determined that there is no basis for any such claim.]

<u>Signatories</u>. Those entities signing the <u>Code</u> and agreeing to comply with the <u>Code</u>, including the International Olympic Committee, InterMember Associations, International Paralympic Committee, <u>National Olympic Committees</u>, National Paralympic Committees, <u>Major Event Organizations</u>, <u>National Anti-Doping Organizations</u>, and <u>WADA</u>.

Specified Substances. As defined in Article 4.2.2.

<u>Substantial Assistance</u>. For purposes of Article 10.5.3, a <u>Person</u> providing <u>Substantial Assistance</u> must: (1) fully disclose in a signed written statement all information he or she possesses in relation to anti-doping rule violations, and (2) fully cooperate with the investigation and adjudication of any case related to that information, including, for example, presenting testimony at a hearing if requested to do so by an <u>Anti-Doping Organization</u> or hearing panel. Further, the information provided must be credible and must comprise an important part of any case which is initiated or, if no case is initiated, must have provided a sufficient basis on which a case could have been brought.

<u>Tampering</u>. Altering for an improper purpose or in an improper way; bringing improper influence to bear; interfering improperly; obstructing, misleading or engaging in any fraudulent conduct to alter results or prevent normal procedures from occurring; or providing fraudulent information to an *Anti-Doping Organization*.

<u>Target Testing</u>. Selection of *Athletes* for *Testing* where specific *Athletes* or groups of *Athletes* are selected on a non-random basis for *Testing* at a specified time.

<u>Team Sport</u>. A sport in which the substitution of players is permitted during a Competition.

<u>Testing</u>. The parts of the <u>Doping Control</u> process involving test distribution planning, <u>Sample</u> collection, <u>Sample</u> handling, and <u>Sample</u> transport to the laboratory.

<u>Trafficking</u>. Selling, giving, transporting, sending, delivering or distributing a <u>Prohibited Substance</u> or <u>Prohibited Method</u> (either physically or by any electronic or other means) by an <u>Athlete</u>, <u>Athlete Support Personnel</u> or any other <u>Person</u> subject to the jurisdiction of an <u>Anti-Doping Organization</u> to any third party; provided, however, this definition shall not include the actions of bona fide medical personnel involving a <u>Prohibited Substance</u> used for genuine and legal therapeutic purposes or other acceptable justification, and shall not include actions involving <u>Prohibited Substances</u> which are not prohibited in <u>Out-of-Competition Testing</u> unless the circumstances as a whole demonstrate such <u>Prohibited Substances</u> are not intended for genuine and legal therapeutic purposes.

*TUE*. As defined in Article 2.6.1.

TUE Panel. As defined in Article 4.4.3.

 $\underline{\mathit{UNESCO\ Convention}}$ . The International Convention against Doping in Sport adopted by the  $33^{rd}$  session of the UNESCO General Conference on 19 October 2005 including any and all amendments adopted by the States Parties to the Convention and the Conference of Parties to the International Convention against Doping in Sport.

<u>Use</u>. The utilization, application, ingestion, injection or consumption by any means whatsoever of any *Prohibited Substance* or *Prohibited Method*.

**WADA**. The World Anti-Doping Agency.

## **APPENDIX 2 - Acknowledgment and Agreement**



I, as a member of the World Curling Federation (WCF) and a participant in a WCF authorized or recognized event, hereby acknowledge and agree as follows:

- **1.** I have received and had an opportunity to review the WCF Anti-Doping Rules.
- 2. I consent and agree to comply with and be bound by all of the provisions of the WCF Anti-Doping Rules, including but not limited to, all amendments to the Anti-Doping Rules and all International Standards incorporated in the Anti-Doping Rules.
- **3.** I acknowledge and agree that WCF have jurisdiction to impose sanctions as provided in the WCF Anti-Doping Rules.
- 4. I also acknowledge and agree that any dispute arising out of a decision made pursuant to the WCF Anti-Doping Rules, after exhaustion of the process expressly provided for in the WCF Anti-Doping Rules, may be appealed exclusively as provided in Article 13 of the WCF Anti-Doping Rules to an appellate body for final and binding arbitration, which in the case of International-Level Athletes is the Court of Arbitration for Sport.
- I acknowledge and agree that the decisions of the arbitral appellate body referenced above shall be final and enforceable, and that I will not bring any claim, arbitration, lawsuit or litigation in any other court or tribunal.
- 6. I have read and understand this Acknowledgement and Agreement.

  Date Print Name (Last Name, First Name)

Date of Birth

(Day/Month/Year)

Signature (or, if a minor, signature of legal guardian)